Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington, D.C.

In the matter of:

The Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings | Docket No. and Ephemeral Recordings 2005-1 CRB DTRA (Webcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding)

Volume 12

The many

Room LM-414 Library of Congress First and Independence Ave,, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540

Thursday, May 18, 2006

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JAMES SLEDGE, Chief Judge THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. ROBERTS, JR., Judge THE HONORABLE STAN WISNIEWSKI, Judge

APPEARANCES

On Behalf of Sound Exchange

DAVID A. HANDZO, ESQ
JARED O. FREEDMAN, ESQ
PAUL M. SMITH, ESQ
Jenner & Block
601 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 1200 South
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 639-6060
dhandzo@jenner.com

GARY R. GREENSTEIN, ESQ General Counsel SoundExchange 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 330 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 828-0126 greenstein@soundexchange.com

On Behalf of National Public Radio Inc. (NPR), NPR Member Stations, CPBQualified Public Radio Stations

DENISE B. LEARY, ESQ 635 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington DC 20001 202.513.2049 dleary@npr.org (202) 513-2049

On Behalf of Collegiate Broadcasters Inc. (CBI)

WILL ROBEDEE 6100 South Main Street MS-529 Houston TX 77005 (713) 348-2935 willr@ktru.org

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

On Behalf of Royalty Logic, Inc.

KENNETH D. FREUNDLICH, ESQ. Schleimer & Freundlich, LLP 9100 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 615 - East Tower Beverly Hills, California 90212 (310) 273-9807 kfreundlich@earthlink.com

On Behalf of Intercollegiate
Broadcasting System Inc., Harvard Radio
Broadcasting Co. Inc.

WILLIAM MALONE, ESQ
Miller & Van Eaton PLLC
1155 Connecticut Ave., NW
#1000
Washington DC 20036-4306
202.785.0600
wmalone@millervaneaton.com

On Behalf of Digital Media Assoc. (DiMA), AOL, Live365, Microsoft Corp., Yahoo! Inc., National Public Radio

KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQ Weil Gotshal & Manges LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores CA 94065 (650) 802-3100 kenneth.steinthal@weil.com

KRISTIN KING BROWN, ESQ Weil Gotshal & Manges 1300 Eye Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 682-7024

On Behalf of AccuRadio, Discombobulated LLC, Digitally Imported Inc., myradio.com LLC, Radioio.com LLC, Radio Paradise Inc., 3WK LLC, Educational Media Foundation

DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQ Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 450 Washington DC 20005 202.508.6656 davidoxenford@dwt.com

On Behalf of The National Religious
Broadcasters Noncommercial Music License
Committee, Bonneville International Corp.,
Clear Channel Communications Inc., Salem
Communications Corp., Susquehanna Radio
Corp., The National Religious Broadcasters
Music License Committee

BRUCE G. JOSEPH, ESQ
KARYN ABLIN, ESQ
MATT ASTLE, ESQ
MARGARET RYAN, ESQ
SETH WOOD, ESQ
Wiley Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 719-4913
bjoseph@wrf.com

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	
	Erik Bryr	njolfsso	on		50	
	By Mr.	Joseph		9		
	By Mr.	Brown		26		
	By Mr.	Oxenfor	cd	29		
	By Mr.	Malone		36		
	By Mr.	Steintl	nal			78
	Bruce Igl	lauer	129		251	
	By Mr.	Larson		177		
	By Ms.	Ryan		210		257
	By Ms.	Brown		240		

EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	MARK	RECD
100	Blackburn Article	19	
101	Liebowitz Article	19	
102	Excerpts from	21	
	Brynjolfsson Deposition		
103	Screenshot of WHRB website	43	
105	Alligator financial data	161	
107	Billboard interview	177	
108	screenshot from Mix Magazine	185	
109	screenshots from Alligator	196	201
	Records website		
110	screenshot from Alligator	201	205
	Records jukebox		
111	Iglauer testimony	210	
112	screenshot from Alligator	220	223
	Records website, news		
113	Jan 2002 <i>Billboard</i> article	243	
114	Copeland-Simon interview	248	
115	Alligator screenshot	257	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

9:38 a.m. 2 3 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: On the All right. As we return to Dr. 4 record. 5 Brynjolfsson's testimony, we have pending a 6 motion to strike significant parts of his 7 testimony and I'm not sure if the memory is 8 accurate or just something expected but the 9 Court was expecting written arguments on this 10 motion by the various sides and we've gotten 11 So we will start considering this none. 12 motion directly and I encourage all the 13 if you wish, file written parties, to 14 arguments within a week and we'll start

addressing that motion soon.

MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, we're basically almost there. We're doing our cite checking and we'll file something early next week.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We didn't make that up.

MR. STEINTHAL: No.

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I couldn't
2	find it in my notes and I just frankly
3	couldn't be sure that the parties had
4	indicated a desire to file written arguments
5	even I believe that to be the case.
6	MR. PERRELLI: Your Honor, Tom
7	Perrelli for Sound Exchange filling in for
8	Paul Smith today. Counsel had indicated that
9	they were intending to file written motion and
10	our intent was to respond to that once we
11	received it. Does that comport with the
12	Court?
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We have
14	before us a motion. We're ready to proceed on
15	a motion we already have and we'll be informed
16	by any written arguments that you might.
17	MR. STEINTHAL: And, Your Honor,
18	my understanding, if it's in the transcript,
19	it would be that Mr. Smith or Mr. Handzo
20	volunteered that they would like to put some
21	written authorities in in opposition to the

motion. We indicated we would very much like

22

1	to put in authorities as well. So I don't
2	think this is the framework of a motion to be
3	made with the response and then a reply. I
4	think what Your Honor
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It might be
6	pending.
7	MR. STEINTHAL: Yes. So we will
8	submit our authorities in support of it early
9	next week.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
11	MR. PERRELLI: We will do the
12	same.
13	MR. STEINTHAL: And on another
14	housekeeping issue, we do have our opposition
15	motion, opposition to the motion, on the SDARS
16	agreement which is due today that we will be
17	
	filing later and we'll try to get you a
18	filing later and we'll try to get you a courtesy copy this afternoon in the courtroom.
18	courtesy copy this afternoon in the courtroom.
18	courtesy copy this afternoon in the courtroom. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That's really

NEAL R. GROSS

1	something that we'll not address any faster
2	than what we'll receive your filed copy.
3	(Pause.)
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
5	Mr. Joseph, my notes indicate you were still
6	examining when we completed the testimony on
7	that Wednesday.
8	MR. JOSEPH: I was, Your Honor.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Are you ready
10	to resume?
11	MR. JOSEPH: Yes I am, Your Honor.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
13	MR. JOSEPH: Thank you.
14	WHEREUPON,
15	ERIK BRYNJOLFSSON
16	was recalled for examination by Counsel having
17	been previously duly sworn, reassumed the
18	witness stand, was reexamined and testified as
19	follows:
20	CROSS EXAMINATION (CONT'D)
21	BY MR. JOSEPH:
22	Q Good morning, Dr. Brynjolfsson,

1	welcome back.
2	A Good morning.
3	Q Since you were here last, have you
4	discussed your testimony with anyone?
5	A No, I have not.
6	Q Let me ask you to turn to page 12
7	of your report. You probably have your report
8	in one of the binders there, your original
9	testimony.
10	A Yes.
11	Q And just refer to the spot toward
12	the bottom where you put in a block quote from
13	Radio and Internet News about industry experts
14	in support of your proposition that there is
15	some evidence in the marketplace as to
16	revenues that a webcaster needs in order to
17	become profitable.
18	A Yes.
19	Q And you see that block quote
20	there.
21	A Yes I do.
22	Q Now I would like to ask you to

1	refer back to that article which was Services
2	Exhibit 28. I'm assuming You may actually
3	have it there.
4	A I'll look.
5	Q I'm not sure whether For
6	convenience, I have a photocopy of it, but
7	it's probably not as good as the exhibit as it
8	was passed out.
9	A It looks like I have it.
10	Q And the paragraph you quote is on
11	the second page of the Newsletter, correct,
12	"Industry experts have agreed"?
13	A Yes, I see it.
14	Q Okay. I ask you to take a look at
15	the beginning of the very next paragraph after
16	the language you quote. In fact, it's the
17	very next material. RAIN there says, "But for
18	most" By the way, this was September 7,
19	2005. Right? This article?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Shortly before you submitted your
22	written direct testimony.
1	

1	A Yes.
2	Q You see the beginning of the next
3	paragraph. "But for most webcasters,
4	advertising is not yet covering these costs."
5	A Yes.
6	Q Did you quote Well, let me just
7	ask it this way. You didn't quote that piece
8	of the article that immediately followed the
9	paragraph you quoted anywhere in your
10	testimony, did you?
11	A No, I did not.
12	Q You spoke about stream ripping
13	during
14	A Are we done with this?
15	Q We're done with that. You spoke
16	about stream ripping during your testimony.
17	When you prepared your testimony, you didn't
18	or prior to preparing your testimony, you
19	didn't examine the extent to which stream
20	ripping actually occurs with radio simulcast,
21	did you?
	ara you.

1	Q The extent to which it actually
2	occurs with radio simulcast.
3	A Well, not in any great detail, but
4	what I did was I ascertained its feasibility
5	and I verified that personally and I
6	ascertained that the stream ripper ripping
7	sites, there were multiple such sites on the,
8	available on the internet and apparently
9	people who visit sites like iTunes also visit
10	these stream ripping sites frequently.
11	Q My question was with respect to
12	radio simulcast, simulcast of radio broadcast
13	transmissions. You didn't separately assess
14	ripping with respect to radio broadcast
15	transmissions, did you?
16	A Well, I inferred from that fact
17	that they advertised as being used for
18	ripping. You mean radio specifically versus -
19	_
20	Q Radio broadcast, over-the-air
21	radio.
22	A As it refers to

1	Q Simulcast of over-the-air radio
2	broadcast stations. Yes sir.
3	A I didn't give much thought to
4	separately assessing versus other kinds of
5	webcasting. Is that the essence of your
6	question?
7	Q That's the essence of my question.
8	A Okay. Right.
9	Q And in fact, you didn't separately
10	assess that, did you?
11	A No. No.
12	Q Now let me ask you to turn to page
13	nine of your written statement and you have
14	Let me just see if I can find exactly where it
15	is. The right top of the page. "If the
16	compulsory license rate is too high" I'm
17	sorry. That's not it. You say that "If the
18	interests of the" Let me just find this
19	precisely. You say "If the rates, the
20	statutory license rates, were too high the
21	record companies could and would offer a

royalty rate lower than the statutory rate."

22

1	Correct? And that's in that first paragraph
2	just before the It's in the third sentence
3	of that first paragraph. Right/
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. And you were talking about
6	the statutory licence fee there. Correct?
7	A Well, yes.
8	Q Okay. Now were you aware when you
9	made that statement that the major record
10	companies meet regularly to plan a collective
11	strategy for pricing the licenses to
12	webcasters under the statutory license?
13	A No.
14	Q In your testimony when you were
15	here last week, you spoke of the concept of
16	rent dissipation and rents. What do you mean
17	by "rents" when you speak of rent dissipation?
18	As an economist, what does the term mean?
19	A Well, if You can think of it as
20	by analogy just to rents on physical property.
21	If you own something that is valuable, then
i	

1	Q Isn't it commonly in economics
2	meant to mean prices that a producer is able
3	to charge above cost?
4	A Yeah, you could take it that way.
5	Q Now let me ask you to turn to page
6	15 of your written direct testimony where you
7	state that "In the last several years,
8	bandwidth costs have fallen by 20 to 40
9	percent per year due to technology
10	improvements and intense competition." Do you
11	see that right at the start of the bandwidth
12	costs statement?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Would you also agree that part of
15	the drop in bandwidth prices you discuss has
16	resulted from the overbuilding of bandwidth
17	during the internet bubble and the drop in
18	demand that resulted after the bursting of the
19	internet bubble?
20	A I think that may have been a
21	factor. Yes.
22	Q Now last week when you were

1	discussing the possibility that listening to
2	streaming substitutes for record sales, I
3	believe you identified articles by Blackburn,
4	one article by Blackburn and one article by
5	Liebowitz.
6	A Yes.
7	Q Did you not? As evidence that
8	internet music may hurt record sales.
9	Correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q As I recall, you describe the
12	Blackburn article as very careful in detailed
13	analysis. Correct?
14	A Yes, I think so.
15	Q Is it fair to say you considered
16	it a good paper?
17	A Yes, I did.
18	Q And for that reason, you cited it.
19	A Yes.
20	Q Is it fair to say you considered
21	the Liebowitz paper to be a good paper?
22	A Yes.
l	

1	Q Now isn't it a fact that both of
2	those papers actually discussed file sharing?
3	A That was the focus of each of
4	those papers.
5	Q And file sharing is the
6	downloading of specific recording for
7	permanent ownership, is it not?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Now remember actually during your
10	direct testimony, I think you were careful to
11	correct yourself when discussing Blackburn's
12	paper. You had started to say downloading but
13	quickly changed it to internet music, didn't
14	you?
15	A I don't recall.
16	Q You don't recall that. Well,
17	would you agree that the articles actually say
18	nothing about streaming?
19	A They're not They don't
20	explicitly discuss streaming to my
21	recollection. I don't recall.
22	Q They don't discuss streaming at

1	all, do they?
2	A Not to my recollection.
3	MR. JOSEPH: Well, why don't we
4	just give you an opportunity to confirm that.
5	First, let me give you the Blackburn article.
6	I'll hand it first. Actually, we might as
7	well hand them both out. It will be quicker
8	and easier. I should have actually handed
9	them both out together. Documents marked
10	Services Exhibits 100 and 101, 100 being the
11	Blackburn article and 101 being the Liebowitz
12	article.
13	(Whereupon, the above-
14	referred to documents
15	were marked as Service
16	Exhibits Nos. 100 and
17	101 for identification.)
18	BY MR. JOSEPH:
19	Q Now, Dr. Brynjolfsson, take your
20	time. Take a look at the Blackburn and
21	Liebowitz articles and let me just ask you
22	whether there is anything in either of these

1	articles that discussed streaming. Dr.
2	Brynjolfsson, I think you've now had about
3	seven or eight minutes perhaps to look at the
4	articles. Do you see any references to
5	streaming?
6	A No, I don't.
7	Q Would you agree that file sharing
8	and streaming are different activities?
9	A They are different but related.
10	Q Would you agree that you can't
11	draw conclusions about the substitutional
12	impact of streaming from articles about files
13	sharing?
14	A No.
15	Q Well, let me ask you to turn to
16	pages 222 and 223 of your deposition when I
17	asked you similar questions, in fact, the same
18	questions back in your deposition. Do you
19	have your deposition?
20	A Let me look. I don't seem to.
21	MR. JOSEPH: Okay. I'll see what
22	we can do. I guess we need to mark this as SX

1	102 or Services 102, not SX.
2	(Whereupon, the above-
3	referred to document was
4	marked as Services
5	Exhibit No. 102 for
6	identification.)
7	MR. JOSEPH: Here's an excerpt I
8	think everybody has been provided previously
9	with the full one.
10	THE WITNESS: Thanks.
11	BY MR. JOSEPH:
12	Q I actually ask you to look at the
13	bottom of page 222 which is the third page of
14	the exhibit. You would agree, would you not,
15	and I asked you the question, "You would
16	agree, would you not, that file sharing and
17	streaming are likely to are different?"
18	You said, "They have some similarities and
19	they have some differences but they are not
20	identical."
21	A Sir, which page is this on?
22	Q Two twenty-two.

1	A Okay. Oh yeah. I have it.
2	Q Right at the bottom.
3	A Yep.
4	Q And then we carry over to 223. I
5	ask, "Which means they're different?" You
6	say, "Which means you can make some inferences
7	from one or the other but that they are not
8	That they are different, yes." And then I
9	asked you, "What inferences can you make about
10	streaming under the statutory license from
11	information about file sharing?" and your
12	response was "I don't think you can make
13	strong inferences and I don't in my report.
14	So I would be hesitant to come to any
15	specific conclusions." Do you remember that
16	exchange?
17	A Yes.
18	Q I'm going to ask you to turn to
19	page five of the Blackburn article which was
20	Services Exhibit 100. Do you see right under
21	the heading "2. The Recorded Music Industry."
22	A Hm-hm (yes.)

1	Q Dr. Blackburn says that "The
2	recorded music industry is extremely
3	concentrated both horizontally and
4	vertically."
5	A Yes.
6	Q Do you have any reason to doubt
7	Dr. Blackburn's view of the recording industry
8	when he wrote his article?
9	A I wouldn't use the same words. I
10	wouldn't say extremely concentrated.
11	Q Dr. Blackburn believed they were
12	extremely concentrated. Correct?
13	A Yeah, technically he wasn't a
14	doctor when he wrote that, but yeah.
15	Q He used this to become one.
16	Correct?
17	A Yes, he did.
18	Q And he succeeded in becoming one?
19	A Yes, he did.
20	Q May I ask you to turn to page two
21	of the article?
22	A Sure.

1	Q Right at the bottom, talking about
2	the games. I think he's referring to the
3	games and CD sales or growth in the music
4	industry, the recording industry quickly
5	disappeared and industry sources were quick to
6	attribute this decline to the rapidly
7	increasing popularity of Napster. Do you see
8	that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Do you have any reason to doubt
11	the accuracy of his description of the reasons
12	given by the recording industry for the
13	decline in sales at that time?
14	A No, I think that was given as one
15	of the reasons that people were speculating
16	about it.
17	Q Let me ask you to turn to page two
18	of the Liebowitz article.
19	A Ah-ha (yes).
20	Q Actually, yes, page two of the
21	Liebowitz article and the bottom of the last
22	full paragraph on the page, the industry
1	ı

referring to the recording industry, see where he says rather, "The industry," referring to the recording industry, "has blamed this decline, " once again the swoon in sales that's referenced in the prior sentence, "to the rapid growth of file sharing and in an attempt to stem this growth in peer-to-peer usage has sued thousands of individuals heavily engaging in file sharing." So you have any reason to doubt Liebowitz's the accuracy of Dr. statement about what the recording industry was blaming for the drop in sales as of the time this article was written which was March 2005?

A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Now let me ask you to turn to page 36 of the Liebowitz article that you just had in your hand. Let me ask you to take a look at the very final paragraph of the paper where Dr. Liebowitz says "In closing, I can only repeat what is generally understood but all too frequently ignored which is that before

drawing inferences from data whether file
sharing or any other economic activity, we
need to carefully examine the data that we are
provided. Economists are often merely
consumers of data, but particularly in markets
that are new or where data vendors have not
yet created and demonstrated the value of
their methodologies, we need to heed the
caveat emptor warning before we accept the
numbers that we are all too eager to put to
use." Do you agree with Dr. Liebowitz's
description of the use of data?
A Yes, I do.
MR. JOSEPH: Okay. I have no
further questions, Your Honor.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms. Brown, do
you want to go next?
MS. BROWN: Yes.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
CROSS EXAMINATION (CONT'D)
BY MS. BROWN:
Q Good morning, Dr. Brynjolfsson.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	A Good morning.
2	Q I would like to draw your
3	attention to page six of your report.
4	A Okay.
5	Q Section 2.2.2.
6	A Yes.
7	Q You state at the top, "I also have
8	focused on webcasters of significant size that
9	are actively seeking to maximize the long term
10	value of their enterprises" and you go on to
11	say that "The reasons for this are several
12	including that some small webcasters are
13	hobbyists or noncommercial enterprises and are
14	not seeking to make the maximum profit
15	possible through the use of sound recording."
16	Based on these statements, I take, Dr.
17	Brynjolfsson, that in your report you didn't
18	attempt to set rates for noncommercial public
19	radio stations, did you?
20	A It's not exactly right. I look at
21	what a market would be with willing buyers and
22	sellers and I concluded that the market rates

1	would be primarily set by those companies that
2	you just described and that those rates would
3	likely apply to all webcasters.
4	Q And in your model, for purposes of
5	coming up with your Model 2, you focused on
6	webcasters that advertise or have
7	subscription-based programs. Isn't that true?
8	A Yes, I did.
9	Q And your Model 1 focuses on
10	similar webcasters. Isn't that true?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q And is it your understanding that
13	noncommercial entities either advertise or
14	have subscriptions?
15	A Not extensively. I do hear some
16	announcements on both stations. I guess they
17	call them underwriting.
18	Q Did you examine or review any
19	aspects of NPRs business when performing your
20	report?
21	A I visited the websites and just
22	ascertained that they were engaged in this

1	year the Or do you know that set of
2	projections was completed by AccuRadio?
3	A I don't off the top of my head. I
4	think I probably referenced it in the report,
5	but I can't remember. Do you know which page?
6	Q No. If you know the date that
7	that was prepared.
8	A I don't right now. As I sit here,
9	I think there's a deposition about it.
10	Q Do you know whether Mr. Hansen and
11	AccuRadio met its projections for the year
12	2005 in terms of revenue?
13	A No, I don't.
14	Q You speak about Mr. Hansen's
15	investors. Do you recall the discussion about
16	the investors that Mr. Hansen has brought into
17	AccuRadio?
18	A I recall reading in the
19	deposition. That's basically all I know.
20	Q Right, and there was a discussion
21	here when I objected about you talking about
22	confidential information. You mentioned the
- 1	I and the second

1	amount of the investments that had been made
2	in AccuRadio. Do you recall that?
3	A I think so, yes.
4	Q Do you know whether those
5	investors have contributed any additional
6	funds to AccuRadio?
7	A No, I don't.
8	Q Do you know whether you mentioned
9	that Mr. or that AccuRadio was in the process
10	of trying to bring in new investors? Do you
11	recall that?
12	A That was my understanding.
13	Q Do you know whether they've been
14	successful in bringing in new investors?
15	A No, I don't.
16	Q In response to a question from Mr.
17	Steinthal about video gateways or video
18	prerolls on internet radio sites, you
19	mentioned AccuRadio's prerolls. Do you know
20	what their success rate was in 2005 in selling
21	video prerolls?
22	A If I remember correctly, I

1	remember seeing them, but I don't remember
2	I don't know what their success rate was.
3	Q And you've not personally talked
4	to anyone at AccuRadio about what their
5	success rate has been.
6	A No, I haven't.
7	Q And do you know what their success
8	rate has been in 2006 in selling video
9	prerolls?
10	A No, I don't.
11	MR. OXENFORD: No further
12	questions, Your Honor.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Malone,
14	do you have any questions?
15	MR. MALONE: Yes please, Your
16	Honor.
17	CROSS EXAMINATION (CONT'D)
18	BY MR. MALONE:
19	Q Good morning, Dr. Brynjolfsson.
20	A Good morning. Good to see you
21	again.
22	Q Welcome to Washington again.
i i	1

1	A Thank you.
2	Q My questions are basically on the
3	demand side of the webcasting market that you
4	describe in your studies. I'll try to be as
5	precise as an amateur economist can be. Can
6	you explain to me Will you explain to me
7	what industry you're seeking to describe on
8	the demand side of your model?
9	A The buyers of
10	Q Yes.
11	A Yes. Large commercial webcasters.
12	Q And if you look at paragraph 2.2.2
13	on page six of your report, you speak of the
14	larger record companies and on page 13, I
15	think you use the phrase "top tier."
16	A Could you point me to that?
17	Q All right.
18	A Yes, I see it actually there.
19	Yes.
20	Q And then on page 14 you speak
21	about 8,000 ATH.
22	A Yes, I do. AQH.

1	Q I'm sorry.
2	A AQH, right?
3	Q All right. I guess you'd better
4	explain to me the difference between the two.
5	A An average quarter hour is the
6	number of people on average listening to a
7	radio station for at least five minutes during
8	any 15 minute period.
9	Q So ATH is?
10	A Is the total tuning hours during a
11	period typically say a month. So there's a
12	common conversion between the two on the
13	assumption that people listen for 18 at the
14	radio, that the relevant hours are 18 hours a
15	day from 6:00 a.m. to 12:00 midnight, 30 days
16	a week (sic). So if you multiply those
17	together, you can convert AQH to ATH.
18	Q And in the last sentence on page
19	14, you say, "This estimate is approximately
20	half the number I used in my analysis for a
21	webcaster of 10,000 AQH."
22	A Ah-ha. Yes.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Q And in the population of
2	webcasters, is the 10,000 a large operation?
3	A I would call it a medium sized
4	operation.
5	Q Then on page 21, you use the term
6	"largest."
7	A Can you help me to where that word
8	appears?
9	Q Yes. Above 3.4.2.1.
10	A Ah-ha (yes).
11	Q You say, you are referring there
12	to NetRadio.
13	A Yes.
14	Q And do you have at your fingertips
15	the ATH or the AQH for NetRadio in the period
16	of time you're talking about?
17	A No, I don't.
18	Q But it would be I take it from
19	what you say considerably larger than the
20	8,000 you're talking about -
21	A No, the market has grown a lot
22	since. I think it was one of the largest ones

1	in the year 2000. Since then, the market has
2	grown tremendously.
3	Q So that would no longer be
4	considered quite as large.
5	A Correct.
6	Q And then, you used, you referred
7	to Ting and Wildman which is Services Exhibit
8	15 and there again, they're talking about
9	NetRadio with 10,000 AQH.
10	A Yes, I believe so. I could check
11	that. I'll take your word for it.
12	Q Then when you were last on the
13	stand, you talked about the term "out-of-range
14	data" and given the focus of your study, can
15	you quantify the range that it's applicable
16	to?
17	A What's applicable to?
18	Q Well, the analysis in your study
19	of large webcasters. How far can you
20	extrapolate on the two extremes?
21	A I believe that the market price
22	would really apply to everyone in that market.

1	Q And is that simply an assumption
2	on your part?
3	A It's based on my understanding of
4	how the market works.
5	Q And to what extent is your study
6	based on the assumption of the availability of
7	video prerolls?
8	A I don't think it's very sensitive
9	to that.
10	Q And sell out rates?
11	A I don't think it's that sensitive
12	to any one, any single, item.
13	Q As to availabilities per hour?
14	A Same answer.
15	Q Let's look at that. Am I correct
16	in my understanding of your study that you've
17	simply assumed there are 15.36 musical works
18	per hour?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And do you have any data that
21	underlies this assumption?
22	A I believe this was the standard

1	that was set at the CARP last time and it's
2	consistent with my own experience listening to
3	webcasting.
4	Q Is this a figure that's
5	chronologically as sensitive in the sense that
6	the CARP, that the determination on which you
7	rely has a certain data and today you said the
8	webcasting industry is evolving?
9	A I don't think No, I don't think
10	that the number of songs per hour change much.
11	Q As a long-time resident of the
12	Boston area or at least an intermittent
13	resident of the Boston area over some period
14	of time, are you familiar with the FM radio
15	station at Harvard WHRB?
16	A Yes, I am.
17	Q Are you aware of the fact that
18	WHRB carries broadcasts as the Saturday
19	matinee performances of the Metropolitan Opera
20	at Lincoln Center?
21	A No, I didn't know that.
22	Q Would you have an opinion as to

1	the number of works played by that station per
2	hour in comparison to the 15.36?
3	A No, I don't know what that would
4	be.
5	(Discussion off microphone.)
6	(Whereupon, the above-
7	referred to document was
8	marked as Services
9	Exhibit No. 103 for
10	identification.)
11	BY MR. MALONE:
12	Q Dr. Brynjolfsson, I've handed you
13	what has been marked as Services Exhibit 103,
14	roughly a dozen 8-1/2 X 11 pages. Looking at
15	the first couple of pages, are you able to
16	identify from the markings thereon what the
17	exhibit purports to be?
18	A Yeah. It appears to be from the
19	WHRB webpage.
20	Q And the first page that says
21	"Enter WHRB" is fairly typical of websites.
22	A It's not atypical.
1	4

Q Okay. And the focus of the first
few pages, in fact the focus of the entire
exhibit, appears to be a music orgy, a Mozart
orgy.
A Yes, that's what it says.
Q Looking then please to the fourth
page down, do you see what appears to be the
program schedule for the Mozart orgy?
A Yes.
Q And it begins at what time please?
A I believe it's 11:00 a.m. May 8th.
Q All right. Then if you turn to
the last page and you look at the top of the
right-hand column under the May 19th, what
time does the I'm sorry. If you look at
the bottom of the left-hand column, can you
ascertain what time the last portion of the
orgy was broadcast or will be broadcast?
A If I'm reading it correctly, it
says "10:00 p.m. May 18th" there.
Q And allowing for a couple
interruptions for the Memorial Church Service

1	broadcast on Sunday and a couple of overnight
2	records, the hospital, would you accept that
3	there are 240 hours of the Mozart back-to-back
4	in that period?
5	MR. PERRELLI: I'm going to
6	object, Your Honor. The witness has not
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained.
8	MR. PERRELLI: Thank you very
9	much.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Your next
11	question, Mr. Malone.
12	MR. MALONE: Well, I can ask the
13	witness if he would take pen to paper or
14	perhaps he can do it in his head and tell me
15	how many hours there are quickly from the
16	beginning time and the ending time.
17	THE WITNESS: A lot. It says it's
18	the largest project in WHRB's 65 year history.
19	So I guess it's some kind of a record.
20	BY MR. MALONE:
21	Q Well, forgiving the over
22	exuberance of youth. There are roughly, if we

assume 10 days between May 8th and May 18th,
that's 240 hours. Is it not? A little bit
over.
A That would be correct.
Q And I will represent to you that
there are 700 different musical works being
performed during that period of time and the
question then is what's the average number of
works per hour?
MR. PERRELLI: Your Honor, I'm
going to object again. He's essentially
reading from a document that the witness
hasn't seen before today and asking him to
draw conclusions from it and based on a
representation of the number of hours and the
individual works that may be in this.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It's
certainly an issue, but this question is can
he compute an average from two numbers and the
objection is overruled.
THE WITNESS: So what are the two
numbers you want to me to use?

1	BY MR. MALONE:
2	Q Well, you have 700 works and 240
3	hours and the question is how many works per
4	hour on the average?
5	A If there were 700 works and 240
6	hours, it would be I guess about That would
7	work out to be about three per hour, a little
8	bit less.
9	Q And so three per hour is less than
10	the 15.36 that appears in a number of places
11	in your study.
12	A Yes, it is.
13	Q All right. I'd like you to
14	examine some particular time periods here and
15	if you would look again please at the morning
16	of May 8th and the year is 1762 when we're
17	down around Koechel, K-O-E-C-H-E-L, Koechel 3
18	and can you infer from what you see under that
19	year whether all the recording being played
20	are digital?
21	A No. Actually I'm not sure exactly
22	where you referring to. 1762 you say?

1	Q Yes. Look at the year 1762 and
2	look at the first four works played, Koechel
3	2, 3, 4 and 5.
4	A Okay.
5	Q And is there any sort of
6	designation there as to the source of the
7	recording that would indicate to you whether
8	they are digital or not?
9	A I'm not sure. No.
10	Q In other words, the LP doesn't
11	define your answer.
12	A That may have been the original
13	source was a long playing record.
14	Q All right. Fair enough. I'd like
15	then to return to the first question I asked
16	you in connection with paragraph 2.2.2 on page
17	six and ask you whether the phrase
18	"economically efficient result" is the only
19	criterion that you would apply as reflecting
20	the public interest in broadcasting.
21	A And just which paragraph is that
22	in exactly? Okay. The very last sentence

1	there. Yes.
2	Q Yes.
3	A Right. Actually I didn't
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I don't
5	understand the question.
6	MR. MALONE: He's being asked to
7	comment on the public interest of
8	broadcasting. Well, let me read the sentence
9	that we're looking at please, Your Honor, and
10	then The seventh sentence in 2.2.2 says
11	"Setting a single royalty rate at lower level
12	in order to accommodate smaller, less
13	efficient webcasters or webcasters with poor
14	business models would mean sacrificing higher
15	rates that could be earned from the more
16	profitable webcasters." My question to him is
17	whether the economically efficient result that
18	we see, I think, several points in his study
19	is the sole criterion that should be applied.
20	THE WITNESS: Actually it's not
21	the criterion applied at all. The criterion
22	I applied was what my best estimate of what a

1	willing buyer and willing seller would agree
2	to. It turns out that that also happens to be
3	a economically efficient result.
4	MR. MALONE: I have no further
5	questions.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Does that
7	complete the cross examination of each party
8	from direct testimony of this witness? Is
9	there any redirect, Mr. Perrelli?
10	MR. PERRELLI: There is, Your
11	Honor.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. PERRELLI:
15	Q Good morning, Dr. Brynjolfsson.
16	A Good morning.
17	Q Tom Perrelli for Sound Exchange.
18	I want to start with some of the questions you
19	were asked about stream ripping, may which
20	were asked sort of more than a week ago.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Setting aside stream ripping, are

1	there other reasons that you believe that
2	webcasting is likely to be substitutional for
3	CD sales?
4	A Yes, I mean for starters there's
5	just a finite amount of time people have
6	during the day and if they spend listening to
7	music in one form mathematically that means
8	there's less time to spend listening to music
9	in other forms.
10	Q And in your analysis, did you
11	quantify the impact that that substitution
12	might have on the rates that you proposed?
13	A No, I did not.
14	Q And why didn't you?
15	A As Professor Liebowitz pointed
16	out, it's important to have detailed data
17	before you make a lot of inferences and we had
18	good data on the, or reasonably good data, on
19	the costs and revenues but not a lot of data
20	to make quantifiable inferences on the extent
21	of substitution.
22	O You were asked a number of

1	questions about the Blackburn paper. Did you
2	use the Blackburn paper to quantify
3	substitution based on stream ripping or
4	webcasting?
5	A No, I did not.
6	Q Did you find the Blackburn paper
7	instructive in other ways for your analysis?
8	A Yes, I did. He highlighted a
9	point which I hadn't been quite as aware of
10	until I read his paper that there's a
11	tremendous amount of heterogeneity in the
12	effects on different artists. That was really
13	an important focus of his paper that some
14	artists were hurt, others were helped and that
15	made me think about how the same heterogeneity
16	would apply in other types of markets.
17	Q And how did that affect your
18	analysis with respect to spillovers or
19	promotion in webcasting?
20	A Well, it seems to me likely that
21	there is also a lot of heterogeneity in terms
22	of the effects of any promotion or

substitution from streaming music and therefore, any given policy is likely to miss the mark for some, probably most, of the artists and therefore, it would be inappropriate to try to set a one-size-fits-all policy to take care, to address promotion and substitution issues.

Q And just so we're clear, what do you mean by "one-size-fits-all"?

Α Well, for instances, if one were to find that there were some promotional benefits for one particular artist in particular circumstance at a particular stage in his career for a particular song when combined with a particular set of other promotions, advertising feature, whatever, that does not necessarily mean that that same level of promotion would apply to other artists and so you wouldn't want to adjust the royalty rate for all artists based on the effects for one artist or some subset of artists.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Q You were also asked a number of
2	questions about Dr. Liebowitz's paper. Do you
3	remember that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Can you take a look at page 18 of
6	Dr. Liebowitz's paper. I believe it's SX
7	0007312. This is Services Exhibit 101.
8	A Yes.
9	Q You were asked questions about
10	whether this paper talked about streaming at
11	all. I'd like to direct your attention to the
12	first full paragraph on page 18 which reads
13	"This conclusion is further supported by the
14	finding that radio play has historically had
15	little or no positive impact on record sales,
16	although it greatly influences which songs are
17	purchased." Do you have an understanding of
18	that research which cites to Dr. Liebowitz?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And what is that understanding?
21	A Well, it's exactly what he says in
22	this sentence that he did not find any

1	evidence that radio had a positive impact on
2	record sales.
3	Q Perhaps You were asked
4	questions by Mr. Oxenford about the AccuRadio
5	pro forma.
6	A Yes.
7	Q And I'd like to direct your
8	attention to SX Exhibit 40 to your amended
9	testimony and it's page 109 of the deposition
10	of Kurt Hansen to see if that refreshes your
11	recollection as to when Mr. Hansen's pro forma
12	was created.
13	A Yes. According to his deposition,
14	those projections were made in November 2005.
15	Q You were asked a series of
16	questions a week ago about "perfect
17	competition." What is that economic concept?
18	A That's the sort of idealized state
19	where you have a infinite number of infinitely
20	small buyers and sellers that compete and have
21	no individual power to affect the price, the
22	market price.

1	Q And in a market where the marginal
2	costs that create the good is zero or near
3	zero, what would be the price in a world of
4	perfect competition?
5	A Well, if the sellers were selling
6	identical products, the price would be zero.
7	Q Now is that true in a real world
8	for copyrighted sound recording?
9	A No. I think that copyright is
10	explicitly created to prevent My
11	understanding is it was specifically created
12	to prevent that sort of thing from happening.
13	Q And so in the real world market
14	for copyrighted sound recording, what is the
15	role of bargaining power?
16	A It allows the sellers to capture a
17	share of the surplus created from their
18	copyrighted works.
19	Q And where does the copyright
20	owner's bargaining power come from?
21	A In large part from the legal
22	restriction that prevents competitors from

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	copying their product and selling it in
2	competition.
3	Q Is it also a function of the value
4	of the copyrighted work?
5	MR. STEINTHAL: Objection, Your
6	Honor. Leading.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained.
8	BY MR. PERRELLI:
9	Q Are there other factors that go
10	into the bargaining power of sellers of
11	copyrighted goods?
12	A Well, it's going to depend on the
13	relative value of the product they sell as
14	well.
15	Q You were shown a portion of a
16	deposition transcript from an upcoming record
17	company's witness in this proceeding, Mr.
18	Kenswil, and asked to address his statements
19	about the ability of webcasters to operate
20	without a license from all four majors. Do
21	you remember that testimony?
22	A Actually, not really.

1	Q Okay. Do you have a view about
2	whether a webcaster could be competitive in
3	the market for webcasting without a license
4	from all four major record labels?
5	A I think it would be difficult to
6	be successful especially for a lot of the
7	genres like top 40 where the consumers would
8	be expecting to be able to access music from
9	all four major labels.
10	MR. PERRELLI: I would like to ask
11	you to look at Exhibit 25 to your amended
12	testimony which is the deposition of Mr.
13	Roback and turn to pages 252 and 253 and this
14	page is marked "Restricted." I don't intend
15	to get into any numbers or financial data.
16	It's a question and answer about this topic
17	from Mr. Roback. Mr. Steinthal, I don't know
18	if you have any objections of having that on
19	the record.
20	MR. STEINTHAL: Why don't we take
21	one step at a time? Why don't you read the
22	question?

1	MR. PERRELLI: The question is
2	"Does the same" This is from Mr. Roback.
3	"Does the same need to have universal content
4	for the subscription service apply to, for
5	example, the free launch cast service?" And
6	then Mr. Roback gives an answer.
7	MR. STEINTHAL: That's okay.
8	BY MR. PERRELLI:
9	Q Let me complete the quote. "Does
10	the same need to have universal content for
11	the subscription service apply to, for
12	example, the free launch cast service?" Mr.
13	Steinthal objects to form and then the answer
14	is "In order to really be in a competitive
15	service, yes, you need to have access to all
16	of the music in radio." Does Mr. Roback's
17	testimony square with your testimony?
18	A Yes.
19	Q You were asked a series of
20	questions about your treatment in your amended
21	testimony about revenues from the SBC-Yahoo

NEAL R. GROSS

service. Do you remember that?

1	A Yes.
2	Q I direct your attention to page 13
3	of your amended testimony.
4	A Yes.
5	Q And I would direct your attention
6	to the second full paragraph that begins
7	"Because" and I would like to ask if that
8	refreshes your recollection as to how you
9	would attributed revenue from the SBC-Yahoo
10	service in your analysis.
11	A Yes. As it states, :I
12	conservatively assumed that the total number
13	of SBC subscribers equals the number that
14	actually use Yahoo service in any given
15	month."
16	Q So in your analysis, did you count
17	every single person who subscribed to the SBC-
18	Yahoo service?
19	A No, I did not.
20	Q And who did you count?
21	A Just the ones that we saw had used

1	Q And just we're clear, when you say
2	"use the service," what service are you
3	talking about?
4	A The music service.
5	Q And again, just so we're clear,
6	the music service do you mean
7	A Yes. The streaming radio music
8	service, Launchcast.
9	Q You were asked a number of
10	questions about whether you used or looked at
11	data from simulcasters. In your view, should
12	there be a different rate charged to companies
13	like Yahoo and companies like Clear Channel?
14	A In general, no.
15	Q Why not?
16	A From the consumer's perspective,
17	they can really switch between the different
18	ones and so if you were say subsidize or
19	penalize one, the consumers would have a
20	chance to arbitrage between the two. So that
21	usually isn't an effective way for a seller to
22	maximize his revenues.

1	Q Are you aware of any activities
2	which Yahoo and Clear Channel, for example,
3	undertake together in order to operate their
4	webcasting services?
5	A No.
6	Q Are you familiar with a company
7	called Ronning Lipset?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And are you familiar with the
10	relationships between Ronning Lipset and Yahoo
11	and Ronning Lipset and Clear Channel?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And can you tell the Board what
14	those are?
15	A Ronning Lipset sells ads on these
16	stations, streaming radio ads.
17	Q And do you have an understanding
18	of how Ronning Lipset sells ads for its
19	various affiliates?
20	A I'm not sure I understand the
21	question.
22	Q I'll move on.

A Okay.
Q You were asked whether you counted
revenue from banner ads shown on player that
were minimized. Do you remember those
questions?
A Yes.
Q Did you, in your analysis, did you
count any banner ads for which webcasters are
not compensated?
A No.
Q I want to go back a little bit to
the Model 1 particularly, but start and sort
of briefly cover a couple of topics and then
finish up. I want to ask you about your
overall methodology of modeling industry based
on publicly available data. Is that a common
approach in your field?
A Yes, it is.
Q And have you done that before?
A Yes, I have.
Q Okay. And can you give me an
example?

1	A I'd say a large faction, perhaps a
2	majority of my MBA classes, this is the kind
3	of thing we do. We'll read a case study which
4	is prepared generally from publicly available
5	data which is a public document and it often
6	has the sorts of data that we described here,
7	excerpts from analyst's reports, newspaper
8	articles and then we spend class time
9	assessing the revenues, the costs, the
10	bargaining power, how things are likely to
11	proceed into the future. So that's a fairly
12	standard business school teaching process.
13	Q In addition to things like
14	analyst's reports, market research, did you
15	also look at how webcasters themselves
16	describe their businesses?
17	A Yes, I did.
18	Q And what did you find from those
19	descriptions?
20	A Well, after we did discovery, we
21	had a chance to see the actual numbers and by
22	and large, I came to the conclusion that I had

1	been conservative in my estimates, for
2	instances, using the
3	Q Dr. Brynjolfsson, you're going to
4	start using numbers and I'm not trying to
5	I would prefer to keep this on the public
6	record if we can.
7	A So, yes, we found that we had been
8	conservative both on the cost side and on the
9	revenue side and in terms of the projections
10	going forward compared to what the webcasters
11	themselves had said.
12	Q Even before you had the
13	opportunity to review discovery, did you look
14	at what actual webcasters were saying about
15	their businesses?
	their businesses? A Yes.
16	
16 17	A Yes.
15 16 17 18 19	A Yes. Q And what did you find that they
16 17 18	A Yes. Q And what did you find that they were saying in the press?
16 17 18 19	A Yes. Q And what did you find that they were saying in the press? A They seemed to be quite optimistic

and revenues that were publicly reported?

A Well, the information that appeared in the, ultimately appeared in places like the radio and internet newsletter and the analysts' reports were incorporated into the report.

Q You were asked by Mr. Steinthal a series of questions about Model 1, but specifically Table 6 of your report and the idea that royalties calculated there exceed revenues. Can you explain what you were attempting to show in Model 1?

A Sure. So Model 1 starts with the assumption that the previous rate was set correctly. Now that was a period during which they were making losses. So the inference would be that there were some other benefits that spill over to their other businesses for instance. So when we carried that forward, the assumption was that those same spillovers existed, the same indirect benefits existed in the current time and we just adjusted for the

1	visible changes in costs and in revenues and
2	updated the appropriate rate in light of that.
3	Q Did you ultimately use Model 1 to
4	propose the rate?
5	A No, I did not.
6	Q And with respect to Model 2, is
7	the rate that you calculate Strike that.
8	Let me start again. With respect to Model 2,
9	did you find that there was a surplus?
10	A Yes, I did.
11	Q And that was what you ultimately
12	used to propose the rate.
13	A Yes, I did.
14	Q Now with respect to Model 1, were
15	the conclusions that you drew in your initial
16	report confirmed by discovery?
17	A It was hard to get precise data
18	matching up what we had in the earlier
19	documents. The closest we had was the data
20	from Live365 and it appears that the costs
21	improved more rapidly than we had rejected and
22	the revenues improved more rapidly and the

1	overall economic surplus increased by more
2	than what I had estimated in Model 1.
3	Q We talked a lot about developments
4	since 2001. Have you also seen developments
5	in the market for webcasting since 2003?
6	A The market has grown quite rapidly
7	in terms of revenues and the costs have come
8	down quite a bit since then as well.
9	Particularly just in discovery, we've had some
10	very recent information from Ronning Lipset
11	radio that it appears that the advertising
12	market is really exploding.
13	Q There was a lot of discussion
14	about fixed costs last week. In your
15	analysis, how significant of an impact do
16	fixed costs have on the overall profitability
17	of a webcaster?
18	A They are really not important. I
19	mean you could cut those numbers in half or
20	double them. It wouldn't have a material
21	effect on the profitability of the webcasters
22	and/or the ultimate rate, probably not more

1	than a couple tenths of a percent.
2	Q And of the variable costs, what
3	did you find were the most important?
4	A By far, the biggest costs were the
5	bandwidth costs and of course, the royalties.
6	Q And what did you find with respect
7	to bandwidth costs in terms of the changes in
8	bandwidth costs from 2001?
9	A The evidence is that they are
10	significantly lower than what I projected and
11	have fallen to, consistently been, I think,
12	it's on the order of 40 percent lower than
13	what I used in my model.
14	Q You were asked a series of
15	questions about profit and loss statements
16	produced in discovery and you indicated that
17	you did not simply drop them into your model.
18	Can you explain to the Panel why not?
19	A Well, there are all sorts of
20	activities that webcasters undertake or any
21	large web company undertakes and what we
22	really wanted to focus on narrowly is the cost

of producing the webcasting services and I didn't see that broken out in a meaningful way in any of the statements that I saw.

Q On the cost side, were you able to determine whether expenditures were for current operations or future investments?

Α Well, we were able to get some data, for instances, on the streaming costs which most people consider to be the largest costs and those as I mentioned we found were significantly lower. That very large other costs that I don't know what they were all for, but some of the big part of it was for future investments. To mention a company that's not in this, I recently read that Goggle is spending \$1.3 billion on developing future technologies for their website and I imagine a lot of the webcasters are engaged in a lot of development of future services that aren't essential for their current operations.

Q And when you reviewed those profit and loss statements, did you find that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	webcasters were attributing all of their
2	revenues in those statements to webcasting?
3	A No, I was actually surprised the
4	extent to which there seemed to be some very
5	large and significant revenues that were
6	economically dependent on webcasting that
7	weren't counted as part of their webcasting
8	revenues. I can give some examples if you
9	would like.
10	Q I think that
11	MR. STEINTHAL: I couldn't hear
12	that.
13	THE WITNESS: I can give examples
14	if you would like.
15	BY MR. PERRELLI:
16	Q Are these examples in your written
17	testimony?
18	A Yes, they are.
19	Q Then I don't think we need to
20	belabor that.
21	A Okay.
22	Q You were asked some questions

1	about flat fees and lump sum payments. Do you
2	remember those questions?
3	A Was that with respect to
4	satellite? I don't -
5	Q Don't go into them.
6	A No, I guess I don't recall.
7	Q Did you recommend a lump sum
8	payment as part of your rate recommendation?
9	A No.
10	Q Why not?
11	A First off, it's not what willing
12	buyers and willing sellers have been doing in
13	this market and I think there's a good
14	economic reason for that in that this is a
15	market that's growing very rapidly by all
16	accounts and it would be, the willing buyer
17	and seller would likely share in some of that
18	risk going forward and the natural way to do
19	that is to share the revenues and/or a per
20	stream number.
21	Q And when you talk about sharing
22	the risk, do both sides share the upside and

1	the downside in your rate proposal?
2	A Yes, they do.
3	Q And how does that occur?
4	A Primarily through the revenue
5	share. So if revenues turn out to be higher
6	than expected, then they both get a share of
7	that increase and if both of them, if it turns
8	out to be lower, then they share in the
9	downside to a point. There's a floor.
10	There's a minimum. But the economic model is
11	based on a division of the surplus between the
12	two parties.
13	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Can I clarify
14	something here for a second?
15	MR. PERRELLI: Sure.
L6	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: When you talk
L7	about this market growing at a fairly rapid
L8	rate, which market are you talking about?
19	THE WITNESS: Webcasting.
20	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: And then you
21	mentioned willing buyers and willing sellers.
22	Who are those willing buyers and willing

1	sellers in that market?
2	THE WITNESS: The record labels
3	and the webcasters.
4	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: I'm a little
5	bit confused by that. If webcasting is the
6	market that's growing, who are they selling
7	to? Are they selling to the record companies?
8	THE WITNESS: There are really two
9	markets. There's the webcasters selling to
10	consumers and to the advertisers.
11	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: That's what I
12	thought. I think we're kind of mixing things
13	up here a little bit inadvertently perhaps.
14	If we could be clear about which markets we're
15	talking about and for which buyers and
16	sellers, that would be helpful to us.
17	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
18	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you.
19	
	BY MR. PERRELLI:
20	BY MR. PERRELLI: Q Let me In asking you about flat
20 21	

webcasters to clarify the question. In that market, what would be the effect of a lesserof royalty structure as between percentage of revenue for a stream rate? How would that affect the risk sharing?

A Well, it would be kind of the worst of both worlds in that one of the downsides of having the revenue share is that if somebody is able to reallocated revenues to another part of their business or is doing it in a way that's efficient or is doing it not to maximize profits, then there might be no recorded revenues. So having the minimum is a protection against that. But if you were able to do the lesser of, you almost provide an incentive for webcasters to do one or more of those first strategies that I mentioned.

MR. PERRELLI: The Court's indulgence just 20 seconds. I think that concludes my redirect, Your Honor.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Just to make sure I understand your answer to Judge

Wisniewski's question. The market that is involved in the models that you have presented in your recommended rates is the market between the sellers of artists and labels and the buyers of webcasting services and the model that he asked you about that you've said is expanding is the market of delivery of services from webcasting services to consumers.

Both those THE WITNESS: Yes. markets are very closely linked. The reason we are able to document it and that the projections are by all parties that the market between the webcasters and consumers and their advertisers is going to grow very dramatically, that will affect the derived demand for music as an input into that. there's a very tight relationship between the ultimate demand for the music by consumers and the derived demand from the webcasters and that's why I look at both of those markets in I look at the growth of the particular.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

market with the consumers in order to make
inferences about how much value will be
created and therefore how much surplus will be
divided between the labels and the webcasters.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
All right. Mr. Steinthal, any further
questions?
MR. STEINTHAL: I do have some,
Your Honor.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: This would be
a good time to break. Thank you for glancing
at the clock.
MR. STEINTHAL: Well, I was
waiting for you.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I appreciate
it. I'm afraid I don't look at it closely
enough. We'll recess ten minutes. Off the
record.
(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
went off the record at 11:08 a.m. and went
back on the record at 11:20 a.m.)
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.

1	We'll come to order.
2	Mr. Steinthal?
3	MR. STEINTHAL: Thank you, Your
4	Honor.
5	RECROSS EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
7	Q Good morning, Dr. Brynjolfsson.
8	A Good morning.
9	Q I'm just going to go through a
10	couple of things you said in response to
11	counsel in sequence. So the same sequence as
12	counsel did.
13	First, you gave some testimony
14	concerning one basis for your conclusion as to
15	substitution from webcasting that people have
16	a finite amount of time. So if they're
17	listening to webcasting, they may not be
18	purchasing records and listening to records
19	they purchase. Is that the inference you're
20	trying to draw?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Now, did you consider at all, in

your analysis of this, if you did it at all, how common it is for listeners to webcasting to listen at work because they can put the music on their computer, minimize or turn the volume off when they want to, and thus listen to music in a way they had never previously listened to music?

A I didn't explicitly consider that, no.

Number of users that go to their office and that don't have stereos in their office and never listen to CDs in their office, prior to the advent of statutory webcasting and simply put on their Yahoo radio station or their AOL station and then minimized, turn it down when the phone rings or something like that, you would agree with me that if there's a category of demand that never existed before for such listening, that wouldn't be reflective of a substitutional aspect?

A I'm not sure I agree with the

1	premise that there aren't other ways to
2	listen. People listen on iPods to music they
3	purchased, a lot of ways at work, in my
4	experience, people do that.
5	Q But you did no analysis of
6	listening habits and to what extent people
7	listen to statutory webcasting in a fashion
8	very different than they used to listen to
9	music. Isn't that right?
10	A That's correct. I didn't do any
11	analysis of that.
12	Q Now, you mentioned that one of the
13	reasons why and let me bring you back to
14	context. You were talking a little bit about
15	perfect competition and that the price would
16	be zero in a world of perfect competition. Do
17	you remember generally saying that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And you said one of the reasons
20	why you said that couldn't be the case is that
21	you relied on the purpose of copyright as
22	giving the copyright owners the labels and

1	ability to capture some part of the surplus,
2	right?
3	A Yes, that's my understanding.
4	Q Did you do any analysis of the
5	legislative history underlying the statutory
6	license?
7	A Analysis of the legislative
8	history? I mean I read the opinions.
9	Q I'm not talking about the opinion
10	in the prior CARP. I'm talking about the
11	legislative history underlying the statutory
12	license for webcasting.
13	A I reread a portion of the
14	Constitution that established copyrights as to
15	what its purpose was.
16	Q Stick with me here. I'm asking
17	whether you read any legislative history
18	surrounding the passage of the Digital
19	Performing Rights and Sound Recordings Act or
20	the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, as it
21	relates to statutory licenses under Section
22	114?

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Surely the
2	Constitution is the constitutional legislative
3	history of statutes.
4	(Laughter.)
5	MR. STEINTHAL: I don't think the
6	Constitution is legislative history as to
7	something that occurred in 1995 and 1998, Your
8	Honor.
9	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: You don't see
10	it as a living document?
11	(Laughter.)
12	MR. STEINTHAL: Do I have to
13	answer that question?
14	(Laughter.)
15	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
16	Q So let me cast it again. I'm
17	trying to find out whether you did any review
18	or analysis of the legislative history of
19	either the DPSRA as we call it every now and
20	again, the 1995 Digital Performing Rights Act
21	or the 1998 Digital Millennium Copyright Act
22	as it relates to the statutory licenses here

1	at issue.
2	A I read a number of documents to
3	try to understand the context of where the
4	opinions and where they mentioned it. I did
5	re-read the Constitution, that portion of it
6	to try to understand the intent, and I assume
7	that Congress was influenced by that intent.
8	But I didn't do an extensive detailed, sort
9	of, legal search.
LO	Q All right. You mentioned, well, I
L1	gather that as you sit here today you don't
L2	recall having looked at that legislative
L3	history, right?
L4	A Other than what I've already
L5	answered.
L6	Q Now in your testimony this
L7	morning, you mentioned that there are a lot of
8	public reports about optimism among webcasters
_9	in recent times. Is that right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Now in the public reports that
22	you're referring to, can you be specific as to

1	whether it was, you know, public reports about
2	AOL or Yahoo or Microsoft, for example?
3	A Not as I sit here right now.
4	Q And can you discern in your mind
5	whether the public reports were about optimism
6	about Yahoo generally or Yahoo concerning just
7	the statutory license webcasting product here
8	involved in this case?
9	A My recollection was that, for
10	instance, that Radio and Internet Newsletter
11	was very optimistic about webcasting in
12	general.
13	Q Do you recall any specific
14	publication other than the Radio and Internet
15	Newsletter that spoke about the optimism to
16	which you referred in your testimony?
17	A Well, there were projections
18	described in the report from AccuRadio, from
19	AccuStream.
20	Q I'm asking you specifically about
21	the public reports that you testified about
22	this morning. Can you specify any other than

1 the RAIN piece that you just referred to? 2 Α I don't remember right now. 3 Now you were asked some guestions Q 4 about Model 1, and I believe you testified 5 this morning that you concluded from the fact that webcasters were losing money under the 6 7 prior CARP rate, that there was some indirect 8 benefits that they were deriving? Is that a 9 fair statement? 10 Α I looked at the analysis under the 11 assumption that the previous rate was set 12 accurately reflecting what a buyer and seller 13 would agree to at that point. the So 14 inference would be that there was sufficient 15 benefits at that point for the buyers to agree 16 to that agreement. 17 I think the gist of what you were 18 saying was that since you observed that the 19 webcasters were losing money, that there must 20 be some indirect benefit that they were 21 deriving or else they wouldn't have stayed in 22 business, right?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Now isn't it possible, sir, that
3	webcasters that had made significant
4	investments in webcasting and who hoped two
5	things would happen in the future: number
6	one, that they would be able to derive greater
7	revenues as the market grew; and secondly,
8	that certain of their costs including
9	particular bandwidth would come down.
10	Isn't it possible that instead of
11	staying in business because there were these
12	indirect benefits, they stayed in business
13	because of both of those hopes: A, more
14	revenue, and B, less cost?
15	A We considered scenarios, you know,
16	I did analysis where what would happen if we
17	considered no indirect benefits and we came up
18	with the rates that were the ones that we used
19	in reports. So we looked at a lot of
20	different scenarios.
21	Q But isn't what I just asked you a
22	possibility? One reason why webcasters may

have stayed in business, having nothing to do with indirect benefits?

A I think it's more complicated than that. I think that the original agreement didn't extend all the way to 2005, so I don't think that they would, originally, as I understand it, it was only going to be until I think it was 2003. So the extent to which there were decreases in cost and so forth after that, it's not, it doesn't make sense that they would have factored those in because the rate was up for being reset by 2003. So I don't think that they would have necessarily considered changes far into the future.

Furthermore, it appears that companies can enter at a later date. Companies are entering today so there's no necessity to sink an investment five years ago in order to do webcasting today.

Q Who is investing today? Name one statutory webcaster that you're aware of that's come into the market to do statutory

NEAL R. GROSS

1	license webcasting in the last year or two?
2	A I believe there are 480 Clear
3	Channel stations that have entered the market.
4	I read just in the newspaper recently that I
5	think MTV has starting a streaming service
6	now. So I think there are ones that are
7	entering.
8	Q Are you familiar with the fact
9	that MTV has been one of the largest streamers
10	of statutory license radio since 2000 and
11	before?
12	Isn't the article you read about
13	the new service called Urge, which is an
14	interactive service, not a statutory license
15	service?
16	A They have a streaming component,
17	according to the brief news report that I saw.
18	
19	Q You don't know whether it's on
20	demand or not, do you?
21	A I believe they have a whole set of
I	

1	I saw.
2	Q Well sir, let's be precise here.
3	The fact is and if you don't know, you don't
4	know. Do you not know whether MTV was
5	streaming under the statutory license in 2000,
6	2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005?
7	A This service that you mentioned,
8	Urge, as I understand it, didn't exist before.
9	It's being set up now.
10	Q And is it your testimony, under
11	oath, that Urge is operating a statutorily
12	licensed service as opposed to a much more
13	interactive offering?
14	A What I saw in the news article was
15	that they were offering a streaming service,
16	as well as other services.
17	Q Sir, can you answer my question?
18	Is it your testimony that Urge is a service
19	that operates under the statutory license or
20	that Urge, in fact, is a product offering that
21	requires voluntary licensing from the labels?
22	MR. PERRELLI: Objection, Your

1	Honor.
2	MR. STEINTHAL: Or do you not
3	know?
4	MR. PERRELLI: I think he's asked
5	and answered several times.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No sir.
7	Please answer the question.
8	THE WITNESS: What I saw in the
9	article was that they were offering a
10	streaming service in addition to other
11	services.
12	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
12 13	BY MR. STEINTHAL: Q And you don't know what kind of
13	Q And you don't know what kind of
13 14	Q And you don't know what kind of streaming services, right?
13 14 15	Q And you don't know what kind of streaming services, right? A No, I don't.
13 14 15 16	Q And you don't know what kind of streaming services, right? A No, I don't. Q All right, let me go back. You
13 14 15 16 17	Q And you don't know what kind of streaming services, right? A No, I don't. Q All right, let me go back. You said that companies are coming into this
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And you don't know what kind of streaming services, right? A No, I don't. Q All right, let me go back. You said that companies are coming into this market still today, other than MTV, and we'll
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And you don't know what kind of streaming services, right? A No, I don't. Q All right, let me go back. You said that companies are coming into this market still today, other than MTV, and we'll adduce some evidence as to what the Urge

1	respect to simulcasting?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Other than Clear Channel?
4	A Well, we also saw that AccuRadio
5	is entering the market or has entered the
6	market since the last, since the rate was
7	initially set.
8	We also saw that AccuRadio entered
9	the webcasting business since the last rate
10	was set.
11	Q Your testimony was that entities
12	are coming in today. AccuRadio has been
13	around for a few years now, hasn't it?
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
15	Steinthal, I've got to interrupt. You started
16	this question. It wasn't based on an answer
17	that he gave.
18	MR. STEINTHAL: He, Your Honor, in
19	response to my question talked about how
20	people are still coming in today in this
21	market and I'm baffled and I'm asking if he
22	can give us any kind of

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No sir. I
2	don't believe the record supports what you've
3	just said. You asked the question "do you
4	know of anybody that has come into this
5	market?" And he said, the answer he gave that
6	you're now exploring. That wasn't preceded by
7	a statement by him that new people are coming
8	into the market.
9	MR. STEINTHAL: With all due
10	respect, Your Honor, if we could go back to
11	the transcript, I believe the witness said in
12	response to my question which was probing why
13	webcasters would have stayed in business
14	before, that they're still coming in today.
15	That's what I'm following up on.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No sir. He
17	said that they did not need to come into the
18	business five years ago in order to come into
19	or be in the business today.
20	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor,
21	believe me, I don't want to argue with you,
22	but when the transcript comes in

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let's assume he said what I said and then go on with your questions, but not based on the fact that he said that today people are investing into the market.

MR. STEINTHAL: Okay, fair enough.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Your free to ask him who is coming into the market, but --

I wouldn't have MR. STEINTHAL: done that had I not heard something from him, Your Honor, but let me go back to the -- what got us down here was a question that I don't think you've really answered with all due respect which is whether one of the reasons why entities that were losing money under the prior CARP decision in 2002, would have stayed in business other than the concept of indirect benefit, would have been because they felt they had an opportunity to lower existing costs and increase their revenues while operating under the prior statutory rate.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
2	Q Isn't that one reason that could
3	have
4	A Yes, I think that could be one
5	reason.
6	Q And in fact, to the extent that
7	there were stand alone webcasters who had no
8	indirect benefits like you testified exist for
9	a portal, you would expect that that's why
10	they stayed in business, wouldn't you?
11	A No.
12	Q Now you mentioned in response to
13	some of counsel's questions that there were
14	developments since 2003 and you cited
15	specifically Ronning Lipset Radio, correct and
16	the growth of their involvement and the growth
17	of revenues that they helped sell, right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q That's just instream audio, right?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And you talked about bandwidth
22	costs coming down in response to Counsel's

questions, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α Yes, I did.

Now is it correct, sir, that under 0 your model, the way it works is that if 100 units of webcasters save cost in bandwidth, the labels actually benefit 75 of those units, don't they?

> Yes, we call units dollars, yes. Α

back Q So again, going to the hypothetical webcaster that stayed in business because they felt that they might be able to increase revenues and decrease their costs, and in particular, have in mind the ability to decrease their bandwidth cost, if they hadn't done any kind of economic modeling in their head like you, such that they didn't figure, well, for every dollar I save on bandwidth I'm really only saving a quarter, because I have to give those costs over to the labels. would really change their equation wouldn't it, if they hadn't considered that for every dollar saved in bandwidth, they'd

really only get a quarter?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A I think a rational businessman would know that their competitors were going to be making investments and taking advantage. If they wanted to stay in business, they are going to have to compete in the market place at what other willing buyers and sellers are doing.

Q Your testimony that a rational webcaster in 2002 should have counted on having to give 75 percent of their bandwidth cost savings to the labels?

I think a rational business person Α 2002 would have understood that in the for negotiation agreement up and was renegotiation being reset, and at that point the price would be set based on what a wiling buyer and seller would agree to at that point. If they are a good business person, they would considered what the economic have circumstances would be at that point.

Q If I achieved some significant

bandwidth cost savings between the time the
CARP decision was rendered in 2001 and two
years later when the voluntary agreement was
entered into between the recording industry
and the DiMA companies, and then in 2003, that
voluntary agreement occurred at the same or
even somewhat a rate than the original CARP
decision, you're telling us that a rational
business person who would have saved dollar
for dollar on those bandwidth costs between
2002 and 2003, should have realized that in
the future all of the additional bandwidth
costs, they were only going to get a quarter
out of every dollar they were going to save?

A If the market was evolving in that direction, that's the price that they would have to meet. If they didn't save at least that much, they would actually be at a disadvantage. If other competitors saved more, that could make it even harder for them. So it would be in their interest to try to save as much as they could.

1	Q You said the lesser of formula
2	was, and I wrote this down, the worst of both
3	worlds. Remember that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Now would you agree, sir, that if
6	somebody got the benefit of a lesser of
7	formulation, then the percentage in the lesser
8	of formulation would actually overstate the
9	true percentage, or the true value of the
10	percentage rate because you could choose per
11	performance or a per hour rate instead?
12	A You're talking the buyer or
13	seller? I mean, be a little more specific.
14	Q The buyer.
15	A So if a buyer
16	Q Gets the benefit of a lesser of.
17	A So they could choose which way to
18	pay. Yes.
19	Q You agree with me that the
20	percentage would overstate the true number
21	because you could always choose something
22	lower, right?

1	A The true number. I'm not sure
2	what you mean by the true number either.
3	Q Well, if the buyer gets the
4	benefit of a lesser of, X percent of revenue
5	or Y per hour or Z per play, then it can
6	choose various mechanisms which might actually
7	result in fees under the X percent of revenue,
8	right?
9	A Right, sir. By definition.
10	Q So by definition the 10.9 is a
11	ceiling. The effective rate is likely to be
12	lower because licensees have the option of
13	choosing one or the others, right?
14	A The 10.9, I'm not sure what you're
15	referring to.
16	Q Let's assume, let's go back to
17	reality, which is 2003 to 2005, when webcasters
18	have the option, as you know, to choose if
19	they have a statutory license subscription
20	service, 10.9 percent of revenue or 1.1 cents
21	per hour, or 0.07 cents per performance,
22	right?

1	A I'll take your word for it.
2	Q If they had that option during
3	that period, then in fact, the 10.9 would
4	overstate the true effective rate because
5	licensees could choose either the 10.9 or one
6	of those two other alternatives, right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Now when you testified that a
9	lesser of is the worst of all worlds, or the
10	worst of both worlds, you mentioned that
11	people operating under the percentage could
12	basically choose not to derive revenue and
13	thereby get away without paying much of a fee,
14	remember saying substantially that?
15	A Something similar to that, yes.
16	Q Did you consider that there are
17	ways in a lesser of formulation to have, for
18	example, as part of a percentage of revenue
19	calculation a per sub minimum that applies so
20	that if you choose the percentage rate, you
21	can't do exactly what you're talking about
22	because if you drive the rate down too low or

1	if you drive your revenue down too low, you'll
2	always have to pay a minimum per subscriber,
3	like the pre-existing 27 cents per subscriber
4	rate?
5	A So you're saying a system where
6	you have the lesser of, but you also have the
7	greater of, of some components in some sense
8	to make it a more complicated scheme?
9	Q Well, let's take the pre-existing
10	scheme. Let's take the facts. Isn't it true
11	the 10.9 percent of revenue piece of the
12	lesser of option under the prior statutory
13	license provided that if you chose the 10.9
14	percent revenue option, under no event would
15	you pay less than 27 cents per subscriber.
16	Are you familiar with that?
17	A Not in detail.
18	Q You would agree with me that if
19	there's a per sub minimum, to protect against
20	precisely the concern that you talk about,
21	that would deal with the issue of companies
22	driving too low a rate, right?

1	A They have some of the same
2	features of what I was yes, exactly what I
3	was describing. Because you've got a
4	guaranteed minimum there.
5	Q And did you confer at all with any
6	of the label representatives that approved in
7	2003 a lesser of formula or a licensee option
8	formula in the nature we've just been talking
9	about to see whether they viewed that as being
LO	the worst of all worlds when they approved it
L1	in 2003?
L2	A No, I didn't.
L3	MR. STEINTHAL: I have no further
L4	questions, Your Honor.
L5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any further
L6	cross by the Radio Broadcasters?
L7	MR. JOSEPH: Yes, Your Honor.
18	BY MR. JOSEPH:
_9	Q Now Dr. Brynjolfsson, you cited
20	AccuRadio as one of the new entrants. Isn't
21	it a fact that AccuRadio doesn't pay fees
22	under the rates set by the CARP. It pays fees

1	under the Small Webcaster Settlement Act,
2	which are significantly lower?
3	A I believe that's true.
4	Q You also cited RAIN as a source of
5	your optimistic comments for webcasting. RAIN
6	is published by Kurt Hansen, correct?
7	A Yes, it is.
8	Q I believe in your deposition you
9	referred to Mr. Hansen and I think these were
10	your words "industry expert", but you've never
11	met Kurt Hansen, have you?
12	A I have not met with him.
13	Q And at the time you wrote your
14	report, you cited RAIN for the various things
15	you cited it for, you didn't know Kurt
16	Hansen's qualifications or I'm sorry, his
17	education or his professional qualifications,
18	did you?
19	A I read a large number of his
20	reports and I based my assessment on what I
21	had read that he had written.
22	Q But you didn't know his education

1	or his professional qualifications, did you?
2	A I don't recall whether he stated
3	that in any of those reports.
4	Q Do you remember my asking you that
5	question at your deposition and well, let
6	me just ask if you remember and if not, we can
7	hand you the pages.
8	A No, I don't.
9	Q You don't remember me asking you
10	those questions at your deposition?
11	A Not specifically.
12	Q And you don't remember saying that
13	you don't know his educational or professional
14	qualifications?
15	A I remember saying that.
16	Q It's probably not worth taking the
17	time of the Court
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That is what
19	he said today.
20	BY MR. JOSEPH:
21	Q But you say you relied on, you saw
22	what he said in this report. Do you have any

1	knowledge of the editorial controls RAIN uses
2	to screen information that it puts into the
3	newsletter?
4	A Nothing other than what I can
5	infer from reading the reports.
6	Q And do you have any knowledge of
7	the editorial controls they use to verify the
8	information in the newsletter?
9	A What he appears to do is speak to
10	a lot of people in the industry and oftentimes
11	will cite and quote a number of people on a
12	particular topic and I have no reason to
13	believe that those quotes were not true and
14	that when often when he makes a point he
15	will draw on a number of different sources in
16	that way.
17	Q And often he doesn't also,
18	correct?
19	A Yes, that's correct.
20	Q Now it's true, is it not that you
21	built your model assuming that the sellers
22	were primarily the four major record companies
1	

that control approximately 80 percent of the sales of recorded music, correct?

MR. PERRELLI: I object, Your Honor. I think this actually goes beyond the scope of the redirect.

MR. JOSEPH: May I have a moment,
Your Honor, because I believe there were
questions on it?

(Pause.)

Your Honor, the witness was asked the questions of perfect competition in the real world, the role of bargaining power, and he talked about what bargaining power comes from, among other things, the legal restrictions that prevent competitors from copying. He was asked if there were other factors depending on the relative value of the price to the seller.

In fact, in his written statement he very specifically said that the power came because the major record, each of the major record companies has a substantial share of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the recordings, and I was inquiring in that direction.

So it goes directly to the question of where the bargaining power comes from that Mr. Perrelli asked about.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: There's probably a small one that you can ask there.

Overruled.

BY MR. JOSEPH:

Q Well, Dr. Brynjolfsson, let me ask you to assume hypothetically that instead of four major record companies with 80 percent of the market, there were 100 record companies each with one percent of the market, and that the catalogues of each of those record companies were comparable in quality and quantity of music, and that a webcaster would not need the license to catalogue at all to have a successful service.

All other things being equal, you'd agree, would you not, that such a market would likely present a very different willing

1 buyer, willing seller negotiation than the 2 market that you build in your market, correct? 3 I wouldn't say very different. 4 really depends a lot, I think primarily on the 5 value of those, I mean there are certain sound 6 recordings by the Beatles or Madonna that 7 could be very valuable individually even if 8 they constitute less than one percent of the 9 market. Consumers aren't necessarily just 10 looking for quantity, they're looking for 11 specific quality. 12 So I think it's fair to say that 13 there would be some differences, but there 14 would also be a lot of similarities. 15 0 Have you done any analysis on what 16 specifically consumers are looking for in 17 terms of music? 18 Α Based on my judgment, you know, I 19 teach courses on this. I had a class, you 20 know, a couple classes this semester, one for

PhDs and one for MBAs where we talked about

the music industry and competitors.

21

22

So it's

1	based on my judgement of my understanding
2	of the industry.
3	Q No analysis?
4	A I think what we do in class could
5	be considered analysis.
6	Q You're talking about what you do
7	in class though?
8	A Excuse me?
9	Q That you're talking about what you
10	do in class.
11	A And in preparation for that, yes.
12	Q Okay, now you also, I believe,
13	testified that the evidence you saw was that
14	bandwidth costs were significantly lower,
15	consistently on the order of 40 percent than
16	what you have used in your model. This is
17	from the data that you were, you saw in
18	Discovery. I believe that was your testimony
19	to Mr. Perrelli?
20	A I think that's correct. Yes.
21	Q Let me hand you a document that
22	has been marked restricted, but I'll limit my
4	1

1	questioning to that which I don't think we
2	need to close it off.
3	Dr. Brynjolfsson, in reviewing
4	data produced in Discovery, did you see this
5	document?
6	A I don't recall.
7	Q Do you recall seeing an agreement,
8	Clear Channels agreement for bandwidth that
9	provides for a price at the highest usage
10	level of \$410 per terrabyte, which I believe
11	translates into 41 cents a gigabyte?
12	A No, I don't recall.
13	Q Who decided which documents you
14	saw from those that were produced in
15	Discovery?
16	A We had a team of people. As I
17	mentioned, Professor Bakos and others, we went
18	through a lot of different documents. I don't
19	recall this specific document.
20	Q And on page 17 of your original
21	written testimony, you modeled that the price
22	per gigabyte for a large webcaster in 2006

1	would be 16.88 cents, correct?
2	A I'm sorry, which page?
3	Q 17.
4	A And yes, so for 2006?
5	Q Yes, sir.
6	A Price per gigabyte, yes, 16.88
7	cents. Exactly.
8	Q So if, in fact, 41 cents per
9	gigabyte was what Clear Channel was paying,
10	that wouldn't exactly be 40 percent less than
11	the 16.88 cents you modeled, would it be?
12	A No, it wouldn't be.
13	Q I have no further questions, Your
14	Honor.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms. Brown,
16	any additional questions?
17	MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I believe no
19	one else is present to cross examine. All
20	right. That completes the questions of the
21	parties to Dr. Brynjolfsson.
22	Judge Roberts, any questions?

1	JUDGE ROBERTS: I just have one
2	question, Dr. Brynjolfsson. I understand from
3	your testimony that you, for your models that
4	you focused on the largest commercial
5	webcasters?
6	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
7	JUDGE ROBERTS: Is it, since I'm
8	not an economist, is it standard practice in
9	the field of economics to focus with your
10	looking at a market to focus on the large
11	players in the market if you're trying to set
12	a price?
13	THE WITNESS: What you want to
14	focus on is the people who are going to be
15	setting the relevant price. Often, those are
16	the large webcasters that you're looking at.
17	JUDGE ROBERTS: I just mean in
18	general.
19	THE WITNESS: In general? I think
20	most often the people who have a dominant
21	market share who are doing most of the buying,
22	most of the selling, are the ones that are

going to be in a position to set the market prices.

JUDGE ROBERTS: So, for example, if I was trying to determine the price for hammers, let's say, would I look at what the manufacturers of hammers, what they charged to say Home Depot and Lowe's and WalMart for determining what the price was of hammers?

whether or not it was possible to sort of arbitrage the different markets. So if you could isolate a market, separate from the other markets, you might see different prices. On the other hand, if it was sort of a national market that people could go to to buy hammers, then probably those big companies would be the main people to set the prices, yes.

JUDGE ROBERTS: So by dividing those markets, for instance, if I lived in an area where there wasn't an Lowe's or a Home Depot or a WalMart and I had to go to a local

NEAL R. GROSS

1	hardware store, that's a different market?
2	Because certainly it has a different price.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	JUDGE ROBERTS: Than the WalMarts
5	and the Lowe's.
6	THE WITNESS: Exactly. That would
7	be an example of where there might be well a
8	different price because you wouldn't have the
9	WalMart but if the WalMart moved into town,
10	then that would probably quickly set the
11	price.
12	JUDGE ROBERTS: Okay, so you can
13	have different markets for one product?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, certainly. I
15	mean they could be geographically separate.
16	One of the things that the
17	internet has done, a lot of markets and I've
18	done a lot of research on this is just is
19	lowered the geographic barrier, so to speak.
20	So it's less and less possible than it used to
21	
	be.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	you.
2	THE WITNESS: Sure.
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Judge
4	Wisniewski?
5	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Just a few
6	questions, Dr. Brynjolfsson.
7	I want to focus on to begin with
8	on page 6 of your direct statement.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Where you talk
11	about product characteristics.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: And I take it
14	your well, rather than I take it your
15	I'd rather have you tell me, in terms of the
16	product that you talk about under this
17	heading, are you talking about or defining the
18	input market product that is the input itself,
19	the market for input itself?
20	THE WITNESS: In this case, it's
21	what the labels would be selling to the
22	webcasters.

1	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Okay. So could
2	you tell me what that product is?
3	THE WITNESS: It's the blanket
4	license to the sound recordings that the
5	labels have.
6	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: The actual
7	license?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: How would we
10	measure the license in units?
11	THE WITNESS: Well, it would be
12	the value of that license would be a function
13	of the value it would ultimately create in the
14	downstream market.
15	So I think a way of measuring that
16	would be the amount of music that could be
17	listened to by the end consumers. So that
18	would vary depending on how
19	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: So is that
20	units of sound recordings or units of plays?
21	THE WITNESS: If the value of the
22	sound recording is going to be a function of

the number of plays that can be done with it.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: So you suggest that would use the plays as the unit, is that

THE WITNESS: Well, actually what would really drive the value of it is what the webcasters or others for that matter could -- what value they could get from that license and currently, the main way to monetize that value, that input is through playing it and counting the number of plays is a good proxy for that. That shows up in the subscriptions and the advertising revenue that can be earned.

Now conceptually, it may be that the consumer may have some value just from sort of the option value of being able to, you know, I can turn on the radio or turn on my webcasting internet radio and know that I could listen to one of those million or two million songs. Some consumers, I think, would put some value on having that option, knowing

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

that they could find that. It's just like, you know, being able to look something up in the dictionary, even if you didn't exactly use it that day, there's some value in that.

Ultimately, a good estimate of what the consumers would be willing to pay is what they actually are paying. And that would be reflected in the value those sound recordings have the webcasters.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Well, certainly as a derived -- I guess what I'm trying to get at here is a little bit more straightforward or simple in a sense. And that is if I have this market for the input, and I know what the price of the input is because I've looked at demand and supply and see where it clears the market. I guess what I'm struggling with is then what is the quantity demanded?

THE WITNESS: Well, you know if you want to be very literal, the quantity is one unit of a blanket license.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Okay, and

1	that's why I'm asking the question, because I
2	guess wouldn't that determine then the shape
3	of the underlying supply curve?
4	(Pause.)
5	THE WITNESS: It would affect it,
6	yes.
7	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: What would it
8	generally look like if you define the units
9	that way?
10	THE WITNESS: Well, since these
11	companies have bargaining power, what they're
12	willing to supply is going to be a function of
13	the derived demand and what the marginal
14	revenue is that they could get from increasing
15	the supply or decreasing it. So they would
16	charge some price above marginal cost that
17	would allow them to maximize the revenues.
18	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Well, would you
19	say that that supply is elastic or inelastic
20	in terms of its shape?
21	(Pause.)
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: You were talking about bargaining power just a minute ago and one of the things that you mentioned in your report is you talked about how you got to your assumptions about the bargaining power number you used throughout your models and the like?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: One of the things that I'm interested in knowing from you is, is there a limit to how much bargaining you have on one side or the other side of the market in the sense that at some point it no longer becomes a willing buyer/seller market?

THE WITNESS: Well, I think the natural bounds in this context would be 100 percent bargaining power for the sellers and 50 percent bargaining power as a lower bound. I think that you can be very safe in saying that the bargaining power is somewhere between those two extremes. At any point in there, it's still a willing buyer and a willing

1	seller.
2	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: So if it's 99
3	percent, do we have a willing buyer and a
4	willing seller market?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: And remind me
7	again, what was the factor that influenced the
8	50 percent bounds, lower bounds?
9	THE WITNESS: Well, if both
10	parties had equal bargaining power, I think
11	50, then they'd have 50 percent bargaining
12	power. The sellers would have 50 percent
13	bargaining power. Looking at the structure of
14	this market, it's clear to me that the sellers
15	have more bargaining power than the buyer, so
16	I set that as a lower limit.
17	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Why not, if
18	you've used 100 percent, which is something
19	that would define a situation where we don't
20	have a willing buyer, willing seller, we've
21	used that as the bounds on one end, why not
1	1

use zero percent as the bounds on the other

1 || end?

THE WITNESS: Well, from a purely theoretical point of view, I think you can do that. But we do know a little bit about this industry, and so I was using that just small amount of knowledge to say that 50 percent would be sort of an extreme lower bound.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: And the 75 percent, would you take us through how you got to the 75 percent number?

THE WITNESS: Sure. There's two ways to get that. One is just based on the underlying theory that the sellers clearly have a lot more bargaining power and so 75 percent was just sort of a loose broad estimate number, you know, in that range.

Specifically, and secondly there's empirical evidence from the sort of related market, not the exact same market, the related market like music downloads or interactive services where the share that the sellers capture appears to be much more, equal to or

more than 75 percent of the surplus.

The download market, for instance, looks like they're capturing a lot more than 75 percent of the surplus. There's some numbers in the report on that. And the interactive market, again they according to Dr. Pelcovits' statement in the appendix there, I saw that the contacts typically had a number, I don't know if I'm supposed to say, but indicating at least that much bargaining power.

So both from understanding sort of the underlying structure of the market and what the agreements actually are and sort of related markets, they both point in the direction that 75 percent is a reasonable, perhaps conservative estimate. But it's worth knowing that, in my model, I broke that out quite separately and you know, you should feel free to see how sensitive it is to putting it in from a 60 percent or 85 percent or whatever number and see how it moves around a little

1	bit. Use your own judgment.
2	But my best judgment is that it's something
3	north of 75 percent.
4	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Okay, a
5	different question. You also talked in your
6	testimony a great deal about advertising costs
7	and the value of advertising and the like?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Do you know
10	what the aggregate value of advertising for
11	the internet companies might look like as
12	compared to the aggregate value of advertising
13	for on the air radio? Just roughly.
14	THE WITNESS: It's a small
15	fraction.
16	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Small fraction.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes. Current.
18	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: And just one
19	other computational question, if you can help
20	me with, on page 4 of your amended statement,
21	in Table 1, you're looking at the increase in
22	the economic value of webcasting. I guess

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	this relates to Live 365?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Without talking
4	about the specific numbers here. If you look
5	at the increase in economic value for FY 2005,
6	you have a particular number there.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Which shows in
9	absolute terms how much it's increased since
10	2002. Is that the right way you interpret
11	that?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's
13	correct. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: What's
14	the percentage increase?
15	THE WITNESS: Well, we sort of
16	started with a base of zero, so I'm not sure
17	you could do a percentage.
18	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Was the base
19	zero or was it a negative number?
20	THE WITNESS: Well, we're looking
21	at the difference, compared to what it was
22	then. There's an increase of this amount. At

1	that time, in 2002, the Live 365 number showed
2	that they were making a loss, yes.
3	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Okay, thank
4	you.
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right,
6	any follow-up questions from Sound Exchange?
7	MR. PERRELLI: No, Your Honor.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any follow-up
9	questions from any other party?
10	MR. STEINTHAL: Your Honor, I just
11	have a couple.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
13	BY MR. STEINTHAL:
14	Q Dr. Brynjolfsson, you said that a
15	good indication of value is what consumers
16	pay, right?
17	A Yes. Consumers and advertisers,
18	yes.
19	Q Right and in your model 2, you
20	looked at for the subscription part of the
21	business what consumers pay, right?
22	A Yes, I do.

Q And for the nonsubscription part
of the business which I think you said was
roughly 90 percent of the business as it is
today, right?
A Ours, yes.
Q Yeah.
A Eighty percent of revenues.
Q And you looked at when you
looked at what the value is for that part of
the business, you did your estimates of
advertising revenues for the various services,
right?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q And I gather that you did that
because you felt that you needed to actually
put a figure on the nonsubscription part of
the business which is supported by
advertising, right?
A Yes.
Q That you wouldn't be comfortable
taking just the numbers from the subscription
services as a barometer for what the revenues

1	would be for the rest of the industry, right?
2	A Well, they're a barometer.
3	They're an indication, but we have additional
4	information so we thought we would apply as
5	much information as possible.
6	Q And you'd want to use the
7	information that you could get to look at what
8	is 80 to 90 percent of the market, rather than
9	rely on the information from the subscription
10	market, right?
11	A I would like to rely on as much
12	information as possible from all the available
13	sources.
14	Q I have no other questions.
15	MR. JOSEPH: Nothing from me, Your
16	Honor.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That
18	completes all of the testimony of Dr.
19	Brynjolfsson. We will not start another
20	witness before breaking for mid-day. We'll
21	recess until 2 o'clock.
22	(Whereupon, at 12:11 p.m., the hearing

1	was recessed, to reconvene at 2:00 p.m.)
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
3	We'll come to order. Mr. Handzo.
4	MR. HANDZO: Thank you, Your
5	Honor. Sound Exchange will call as its next
6	and final witness before spring break, Mr.
7	Bruce Iglauer.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Iglauer,
9	would you raise your right hand, please.
10	WHEREUPON,
11	BRUCE IGLAUER
12	was called as a witness and, after having been
13	first duly sworn, was examined and testified
14	as follows:
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
16	Be seated.
17	MR. HANDZO: For the record, would
18	you tell us your name.
19	THE WITNESS: My name is Bruce
20	Iglauer.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. HANDZO:

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Q Mr. Iglauer, where are you
2	currently employed?
3	A I'm the President of Alligator
4	Records in Chicago.
5	Q What is Alligator Records?
6	A Alligator Records is an
7	independent record label specializing in
8	recording blues and blues-based musicians. We
9	have a catalogue of about 240 in-print CDs.
10	Q What's your position with
11	Alligator Records?
12	A I'm the President, and also the
13	founder.
14	Q Let me ask you this, when did you
15	found Alligator Records?
16	A The spring of 1971.
17	Q Can you tell us how Alligator
18	Records got started?
19	A I came to Chicago because I'm a
20	blues fan, and Chicago is the biggest blues
21	center in the world. And I went to work for
22	a small record company called Delmark Records

where I was a shipping clerk, and a sort of general whipping boy. I spent every night in blues clubs in the black community in the south and west side in the ghetto hearing various bands, and being in love with this kind of music. And I heard a band called Hound Dog Taylor and the House Rockers. This was the band -- they were the happiest band I ever heard. I wanted to get them recorded. I wanted to produce them.

I went back to my boss at Delmark Records and said, "You've got to listen to this band. You've got to sign them. You've got to have me produce them. They're going to be great." I couldn't talk him into it. And in frustration, wanting to prove to my mentor, the fellow who ran this label, that he should have recorded this band, and he should have let me produce them, I started my own record label to record my favorite band.

- Q And this was what year?
- || A 1971.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Q And how did that sound recording
2	do?
3	A Relative to the blues world, it
4	was quite a success. I think we sold 9,000
5	copies the first year.
6	Q Now when you started Alligator
7	Records back in the early 70s, how many
8	employees did you have?
9	A I would be the staff, the entire
10	staff.
11	Q Where were your offices located?
12	A I had an efficiency apartment in
13	uptown in Chicago, one room, and that was the
14	office, as well as my home. I had a shipping
15	table made from a piece of plywood and some
16	sawhorses, I slept on a mattress on the floor.
17	I had a walk-in closet which was my warehouse.
18	Q How long was it before you hired
19	your first employee?
20	A I hired a part-timer four years
21	later. Before that, it was me all the time.
22	Q And did there come a time when you

1	moved out of the apartment?
2	A Well, I moved actually to a
3	slightly larger apartment in the same
4	building, but finally about 1974 or '75 I
5	rented a small house on the north side of
6	Chicago, and I moved myself and my company
7	into my house.
8	Q How long was it that you operated
9	out of your house?
LO	A About 11 years, and by that time I
L1	had seven people coming to my house every day
L2	to go to work, and a xerox machine in the
L3	dining room. I was actually running my office
L4	in my bedroom because there was no other space
_5	available. The artists would come to have a
-6	meeting with me and sit on my bed to talk. So
.7	finally in frustration, I found a small
.8	building in the neighborhood and moved there.
.9	Q Let's sort of fast forward a bit.
20	How many employees do you have now?
21	A Including myself, I have 16.
22	Q And I take it you're no longer

1	working out of your house.
2	A I still have an office in my
3	house, but I work there by myself.
4	Q You mentioned, I think, that
5	Alligator Records primarily focuses on blues.
6	Is there any other kind of music that
7	Alligator Records produces?
8	A Over the years we've done some
9	things that have been related to blues.
10	Briefly, we had a series of reggae releases in
11	the early 80s. We recorded some what's
12	generally called roots rock and roll, a few
13	things that might fall in an area called
14	Americana. This is all music that is
15	structurally fairly simple music. It all has
16	some roots in blues.
17	Q Have any of the albums that you
18	created through Alligator Records won any
19	awards?
20	A Yes, quite a lot, actually. Two
21	Grammy awards to start with, and about I'm
22	thinking 35-40 Grammy nominations. We've also

won awards like the Grand Prix of the Hot Club of France, and the Grand Prix of the Montreal Jazz Festival. We've won Indie Awards from the Association for Independent Music, and we've won what are now called the Blues Music Awards. In fact, we've won more of those than any other label. tell of Can you us some

the artists that you worked with at Alligator Records?

Α I mentioned Hound Dog Taylor, Sun Seals, Albert Collins, Johnny Winter who some people might have heard of here, Roy Buchanon, recently somebody mentioned a new artist of our's, Eric Lindell, Blue Light of the Blues 240 albums Imperials, so quite a lot artists. Some of those are anthologies of various artists that we've worked with.

0 Now, Mr. Iglauer, is Alligator Records affiliated with any of the major record companies?

> Α No.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Τ	Q So it is what we call an indie?
2	A Absolutely, and proud of it.
3	Q Tell us from your perspective what
4	the difference is between an indie and one of
5	the majors.
6	A In a sense, there are two
7	differences. By the business standards of the
8	record industry, the difference has to do with
9	distribution. The majors own companies which
10	are distributing companies. Those companies
11	sell to retailers, so the majors control two
12	steps of the distribution process. The
13	independents sell to independently owned
14	distributors, which then sell to the
15	retailers, so the major labels have two income
16	streams, wholesale and manufacturing. We have
17	one income stream which is the manufacturing
18	income stream.
19	From a more personal and sort of
20	traditional point of view, the indies have
21	tended to be privately held companies. They
22	have tended to be companies owned by people

1	who are music driven as versus just money
2	driven people. They have been companies that
3	have, in a sense, found their own artistic
4	way. To try to make it clear about Alligator,
5	I didn't get into the record business thinking
6	how could I sell as many possible units of
7	whatever kind of music the public will swallow
8	as I can to make money. I thought how can I
9	take this music that I truly love and get it
10	to as many people as possible, and make a
11	living doing it so I can make more of these
12	records.
13	Q You mentioned let me back up.
14	How many new releases do you currently have
15	now in the last year or two?
16	A We've been typically doing six or
17	eight a year. Sometimes those include
18	repackages of previously released material,
19	like Best Of-type packages.
20	Q And how many units does each of
21	your new releases typically sell?
22	A Well, it varies a lot. A big hit

for us would be 50-60,000 sales in the United States. A big disappointment might be 3,000 sales in the United States. And more often, sales are somewhere in the 5-15,000 area. It varies a great deal from artist to artist.

Q And with respect to those sales, do those normally come pretty much during the first year, or are you seeing sort of a long tail effect there?

when the records are new. In the old days, say from five years ago back, our back catalogue, our older titles, some of which are classics in the field, generated quite a lot of sales, but with the climate in the industry as it is now and with the retailers being so scared, a lot of those records are simply not in stores any more, so we used to depend a great deal on our back catalogue to support the label, and now it's rather the opposite.

Q I want to ask you a little bit about the cost of making these sound

recordings or CDs. First of all, let's start 1 with how you go about locating talent or new 2 3 artists. Well, generally speaking, I'm the 4 5 talent scout for the label. I spend a lot of 6 time going to live performances, hanging out 7 in blues clubs, following leads, traveling 8 around the country to see people that I'm told 9 are good, or if somebody sends an interesting 10 sounding demo recording to me, I may get on a 11 plane and go see them. 12 Once I decide that I'm interested 13 in an artist; and, of course, I have to 14 negotiate a deal with the artist, and then I 15 pay for everything. 16 stop you there for 0 Let me 17 second. I mean, I assume you have costs 18 involved with the traveling around and finding 19 them. 20 Oh, absolutely. And, of course, 21 many times these don't turn into costs 22 I'll go see somebody and not be anvthing.

1 excited, or I'll attempt to make a deal and 2 fail to do so. 3 But if you find somebody you 0 4 really like and you enter into a deal with 5 them, does that sometimes involve advances 6 paid to the artist? 7 absolutely. I don't ask Α Oh, 8 artists to record for me without being paid. 9 The advances will vary based on what I see is 10 the commercial potential of the artist. 11 And then when you get to the point 0 12 of actually making a sound recording, can you 13 tell us what costs you wind up incurring with 14 that? 15 Α Well, besides paying the artist, 16 and if the artists don't have their own groups 17 - if the artists have their own groups, I may 18 be paying the whole group - often I'll be 19 paying hired accompanists, I'll be paying the 20 recording studio, I'll be paying the engineer, 21 I'll be then paying for the mixing after we've 22 done the recording. And, of course, I may be

flying people in or housing them, feeding them, renting equipment. Then the packaging, the photos, the design of the packaging, the mastering of the record which is what takes it from its raw form into a form that can be reproduced physically. And then all of the physical production of the disk, including thousands of promotional copies.

Q Once the album or CD has actually been manufactured and in its jewel box and so on, how do you get it distributed?

A We sell now - we used to sell to a lot of different distributors in the United States. Now we sell to one distributor in the United States who is responsible for getting out there and convincing the stores they should buy the CD, because right now there are over 60,000 new CDs released a year in the United States. Most of them never see their way to the inside of a store.

We provide incentives for the stores by previous to selling a single copy,

saying we're prepared to spend X amount of money for retail promotion programs. Those listening can include stations with headphones, they can include displays, they can include being on the top shelf or in the front rack, or what's called an end pack which is a special display unit. We also sometimes will quarantee advertising where we tag the name of the store in the advertising. All of this to provide an incentive for the stores to bring the music in.

Q So those are monies that you pay to the retailer?

A Those are monies which are actually credited to the retailer, who charges back the -- deducts them from what they pay the distributor, then the distributor deducts them from what they pay us. And, again, I want to emphasize, we make these commitments primarily before release, so in addition to all of the expenses we have to make and manufacture the record, we're guaranteeing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

this money before we know if we're going to sell a single unit.

Q Do you have any costs associated with marketing of the album or the CD?

Α Well. beyond paying for these retail programs, we manufacture and send out, and follow-up on thousands of promotional copies to press, to radio, to retail for instore play, to our distributor/sales people distribute them bv hand who to various retailers, to potential places where artist might perform, clubs and concert promoters, as well as to a number of what we call industry tastemakers who we hope are kind of in the grapevine, and will create a buzz for the artists.

Q Do you spend money on advertising?

A Absolutely. On our more specialized blues records which we're seeing primarily for the hardcore blues audience, we'll advertise in the key American Blues magazines, of which there are two, and then

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

there are a number of blues societies around the country which have small publications, and we advertise in essentially all of those.

In addition, we may buy, depending on the artist we may buy space in magazines like Paste, No Depression, in one case we bought an ad recently in a magazine called Rockabilly, and we may buy advertising -- we often buy advertising to help make gigs a success, local performances, so we'll buy advertising in the equivalent of say the Washington City Paper, or the Village Voice, to pick weekly publications that might be familiar to people here.

Q When your artist plays a gig, does that help sell the CDs?

A Absolutely. Part of the philosophy of Alligator is that a great live performance is the best sales tool we've got. If we can get people in the door, I pick artists who are exciting live, who can move an audience live. If we get people in the door,

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we believe they'll want to buy the music. days, because the stores these are so difficult, we also make sure that the artists we sign are prepared to make sales off the actually complete the bandstand, and transaction, so somebody gets in the door, they leave with that CD, and we make money, and the artist makes money.

Q And so do you financially support them when your artists play gigs?

A If you mean pure tour support, where we actually say gee, you're not making enough money to play here, and it's a good exposure gig for you, we'll help you out, we do that occasionally. We don't do it very often. Frankly, we can't afford to. In terms of supporting the gig by creating a lot of media interest, yes, absolutely. And we continue to do that for months and months after a new release.

Q Now in addition to the costs that you've described, you also have royalty costs

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	to	pay?
---	----	------

A Oh, sure. We pay mechanical royalties to the publishers of the songs who then pay the writers. For every CD sold from CD number one, we pay artist royalties based on sales, based in our case on a percentage of the suggested retail price, but there are certain costs that are recoupable out of those artist royalties before the artist sees additional money.

Q Let me ask you about the recoupment. You've described a number of costs that you have in creating the sound recordings and the CDs. Are there some of those costs that you try and recoup from the artist?

- A Yes.
- Q Which ones?

A Advances to the artists, the cost of actually making the recording; that is, the studio, the engineer, hired accompanists we call side men, hired side men if we need them,

NEAL R. GROSS

the cost of mixing, the cost of mastering, did

I say the advance that we give to the artist
first and foremost? Sometimes the artists
will agree that the costs, additional costs
are recoupable. Some artists are eager enough
to be on the label, they may come to us and
say we'll help by making 20 percent of your
advertising recoupable, for example, but
that's pretty rare.

Q Now just so I understand, you're recouping some of those costs out of the revenues that would otherwise be paid to the artist as a royalty?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

A Typically, the artist is making a percentage of the suggested list price, suggested list price in this country is usually \$16.98, so they'll be making a percentage of that, but those costs will be considered their monies paid in their behalf in advance.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Q Okay. Now what happens if the CD
2	doesn't sell enough to recoup your costs?
3	A We eat it.
4	Q Does that happen?
5	A Oh, yes, quite a lot. I should
6	explain that even though advances are called
7	advances in the music industry, the artists
8	are not expected to pay them back. If the
9	record doesn't sell, the artist keeps the
10	money. So, essentially, it's a one-way
11	payment. If we never sell a single copy, the
12	artist keeps the money.
13	Q So if you don't sell much of a CD,
14	who's at risk for those costs?
15	A Oh, I am completely.
16	Q Are there costs that don't get
17	recouped in any event?
18	A Oh, many. All of the costs of
19	manufacturing, all of the costs - I mentioned
20	those thousands of promotional copies we send
21	out, making them, shipping them, following up
22	on them, posters, photos, bios, the press kits

that we put together, all of these things are
non-recoupable. The advertising is non-
recoupable. The store programs that I
mentioned are non-recoupable. This is all
falling on us.
Q Now just to complete the cost side
of the picture, are there overhead costs, as
well?
A Oh, absolutely. What I figure
break-evens, for example, I don't figure or
the cost of my 16 staff members. I don't
figure for my phones, for my emails, for my
rent, for the almost \$100,000 in health
insurance that I pay for my employees. Now
these are my costs, and these are day-to-day
costs that we simply absorb in the overall
income of the company.
Q Now you mentioned before some
costs that you have in connection with trying
to market or sell CDs.
A Yes.
Q In connection with how you market

or promote your CDs, is getting radio play part of that?

A Oh, yes. Primarily still terrestrial radio play, old school radio.

Q When you try and get that terrestrial radio play, does it matter to you what it is that the radio station plays?

Α Absolutely. Whenever we have a new release, we focus on one song. We may make a physical single, a CD single for radio only to help the radio programmers concentrate on that one song, because iust as with advertising, it's repeated impressions that cause people to remember a piece of music, and then hopefully buy it. So we will -- somebody here mentioned a new artist of our's, Eric There's a song from his album, the song is called Give It Time. If the radio single were working, we're also making it available on our website as a free download for people. In all of the advertising we do -- I didn't mention advertising in radio trade

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Lindell featuring the single Give It Time.

When we put out a single like that, or when we work a new album, we ask the radio stations—

we tell the radio stations what the date is that we want to have it added to their playlists, the add date. And we want that date to be the same for every station, so the week it comes out we can show up on the charts that the radio stations look at. If the radio station chooses another song, it doesn't show up on that chart, even though they may be playing it.

The radio business is full of sheep, and radio programmers look at what other radio stations are playing, so if we get an ad on KFOG in San Francisco for Eric Lindell, which we just did, it's a hugely important station in the field of radio, the genre of radio that we reach out to. Seeing that KFOG added this song is sort of a seal of approval in the format which is called AAA,

Adult Album Alternative radio, and we have a good chance of getting that song added. If KFOG added a different song, it wouldn't help us nearly as much.

Q So does random play of random songs help you?

A It's hard to know. It's better for people to artists some than not at all, if they're paying attention, if they remember the artist, if they remember the song.

I have a friend who does radio promotion for another label, blues promotion, and he suggested to all of us in the blues business that instead of releasing the full CD through radio, that we only do a radio single, because it's intensely frustrating for us to see a blues specialty program playing dabbling of the record, playing one track one week, and a different track the next week and a different track the next week because it will never make that impression on the listener of that song, that artist.

Let me give you a great example. The best known blues artist in the world is B.B. King. The reason he's the best known blues artist in the world, besides that he's immensely talented, is that in I think 1969, ABC Records released a single of a song called The Thrill Is Gone, and it got onto commercial radio, and was played constantly. The rest of the album wasn't played. That song It became his best known song, his played. signature song, he closes every night with it, and it moved him from being a middle level artist to a top level artist.

Q Now in terms of getting radio play, do you try and get play on webcast stations?

A I think I'm correct in saying that we don't service any pure webcasters with free CDs. Some of the commercial stations and public stations that we service, terrestrial stations we service simulcast on the web. We don't reach out to webcasters.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Q Why is that?
2	A First of all, we don't know if
3	they're having any effect on sales. Second,
4	we don't know if they are having an effect,
5	who is having the effect. There are hundreds
6	and hundreds of webcasters webcasting our
7	genres of music. Which ones are important and
8	not important, we simply can't determine.
9	Q Now you mentioned that there are
10	webcasters who have niche stations that focus
11	on the blues. Is that
12	A Well, there definitely are
13	webcasters that have niche stations.
14	Q And to the extent that there are
15	niche webcast stations that focus on the
16	blues, have you given any thought to whether
17	that helps or hurts your sales?
18	A Well, I've given thought to it,
19	but unfortunately I have no way to research
20	it. There's simply nothing, there's nothing
21	published, there's no technical means that I
22	know of to equate play to sales. Now when we

get played on terrestrial radio, to give you a great example, a real station in Santa Rosa, California, KRSH is playing that same Eric Lindell song. And that area of Sonoma County right now is the number one market for that album in the country. People are hearing the one song and they're going out and buying the record.

Q Do you have any concern that niche webcast stations that specialize in the blues could substitute for the purchase of your CDs?

A I can tell you this; I know many people who sit and listen all day long to webcasts. They're generally at work at their computers. One of the key people on my staff does that. She listens to the webcast of WWOZ, the simulcast of WWOZ in New Orleans. She's not playing any of her own CDs, any of my CDs, she's listening to streamed music. I also know that, to pick the example of satellite radio, if it's okay to go there for a second, if you could -- I know we're not

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	talking about satellite radio here, but the
2	parallel I believe is there. If you could
3	drive across the country listening to
4	Bluesville on XM radio 24/7 listening to the
5	kind of music I love, why do you put 25 CDs in
6	your car? Why do you buy those 25 CDs to
7	listen to, if what you're hearing by webcast,
8	or simulcast, or a satellite radio station is
9	fulfilling your need for the music.
10	Q Now we've talked some about your
11	costs. What's the major source of your
12	revenue?
13	A Our major revenue source is still
14	sales of physical CDs, by far.
15	Q And overall, how do Alligator
16	sales of CDs today compare to five years ago?
17	A I've looked at some numbers for
18	five and six years ago, and our sales are down
19	roughly 30 percent. Obviously, some artists
20	have dropped more than others, some titles
21	have dropped more than others, but our overall

flow of cash between 1999 and now has dropped

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that much. In fact, this year we're down 14 percent from last year.

What impact do those decreased CD Q sales have on your business?

Well, in 1999, if I'm picking the Α correct year, we had 23 people on the staff, now we have 16. At one point, we released as many as 14 CDs a year, now we're releasing seven or eight. A number of artists who were marginally selling artists, artists who were perhaps more traditional blues artists, artists for the hard core market only, what we generally thought of as sort of our В releases, releases we put out because we believe in the artist, we believe in the music, but we knew the commercial prospects were relatively low. Those B releases we could no longer release because we can't get enough of them into the stores and sell enough of them to just break even.

Q Now as CD sales fall, are you looking to other ways to offset the loss of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	revenue?
2	A Oh, absolutely, I have to. I want
3	to stay in business.
4	Q What are you looking to?
5	A Well, there are three major areas
6	where we're looking. We're working much more
7	aggressively on film, television, and
8	advertising placements of our songs, either
9	our masters or the songs themselves if we
10	publish the music, if they can be recorded by
11	other people. We're dealing with a number of
12	legitimate downloaders in this country and
13	elsewhere in the world, and that's one of our
14	few growing sources of revenue. And one of
15	the nice bonuses is the money we get from
16	Sound Exchange.
17	Q And have you been getting money
18	from Sound Exchange?
19	A Absolutely, yes, and it's manna
20	from heaven.
21	Q Do you know whether those Sound
22	Exchange royalties matter to your artists?

A To give you an example, I have a band on the label called Roomful of Blues, and by my standards it's a fairly large band, it's an eight-piece band, and they travel around the country in a very old bus, probably literally 40 years old. Recently, their bus again broke down, as it does so often. And as it broke down, Roomful of Blues received a check for a little over \$2,000 from Sound Exchange, and it fixed their bus. They told me that was made the difference, that's what got them to the gig.

I know that the artists have just welcomed this money so much, they've been so pleased, they have called me about it. number of cases, I've gotten the artists into Sound Exchange. Many of ΜV artists unfortunately real limited formal have education. Some of them aren't on line at all, some of them -- Koko Taylor has been with me for 30 years, graduated sixth grade from a black high school in Tennessee in the 1930s.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

She has trouble reading and writing. She's
not dumb, she just that was her education,
so she needed me to put her in Sound Exchange,
but she's been very happy with the checks.
MR. HANDZO: Can I just have a
moment? Thank you, Your Honors, that's all I
have.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Cross by
DiMA.
MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your
Honor. Tom Larson for the Digital Media
Association. Mr. Iglauer, how are you today?
THE WITNESS: Fine, thanks.
MR. LARSON: Thank you for coming
all the way from Chicago for this. I'm going
to start with an exhibit, if that's okay.
And, Your Honor, at this point, the couple of
exhibits I'm going to start with contain
restricted material, including financial
statements from Mr. Iglauer's company, so I
would move to invoke the protective order.
MR. HANDZO: If I may just see the

1	exhibits, we may or may not need to do that.
2	Actually, this is one I think where we would
3	invoke the protective order. It's a fairly
4	detailed statement of profit, and loss and
5	expense.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What is the
7	motion?
8	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would
9	move to invoke the provisions of the
10	protective order with respect to this exhibit,
11	which contains a detailed statement of
12	financial information with respect to Mr.
13	Iglauer's business.
14	MR. LARSON: Mr. Iglauer, do you
15	recognize this?
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Larson,
17	you are
18	MR. LARSON: I'm sorry, sir.
19	(Whereupon, Exhibit
20	Services 105 was marked
21	for identification.)
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection

NEAL R. GROSS

to the motion to apply the protective order to
questions relating to Service Exhibit 105
consisting of detailed financial information
of the witness? Without objection, motion is
granted.

(Whereupon, the proceedings went into Closed Session.)

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. LARSON: I'd like to hand out
2	what's been marked as Exhibit 107, Services
3	Exhibit 107.
4	(Whereupon, Services's
5	Exhibit 107 was marked
6	for identification.)
7	BY MR. LARSON:
8	Q Mr. Iglauer, do you recognize this
9	article?
10	A It was printed in <i>Billboard</i> , I
11	see. And assuming that it's the one that I
12	remember, it looks familiar. I remember
13	especially the numbers toward the end on page
14	2, which I had pointed out to my legal
15	counsel.
16	Q In fact, this is an article that
17	you submitted as an exhibit to your witness
18	statement. Is that right?
19	A This was an article submitted with
20	the witness statement, yes.
21	Q Okay. Could you turn to page 2,
22	please?

1	A Yes.
2	Q You see the third paragraph says,
3	"But others say hits are what retailers need,
4	and so far this year the release schedule
5	hasn't been as strong a factor, Tower Records
6	V.P. Kevin Cassidy says."
7	A Yes.
8	Q It's possible, is it not, that a
9	decrease in sales for a company in a given
10	year could be caused by a weak release
11	schedule for that company. Is it not?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. And that could be
14	especially important for a company like
15	yourself, where you only have six or eight
16	releases that you put out in a given year, as
17	you said.
18	A True, but I'd like to point out
19	that from the end of 90s until now, the gross
20	income of my company has gone from around \$4
21	million a year to about \$2.3 million a year.
22	Q We heard your testimony before.

1 | I'm just asking --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A Okay. Well, I didn't testify to those numbers.

O Now if you could look two lines down, or two more paragraphs, excuse me, it says, "Some look at even bigger You have to factor in that all retailing has been soft since gas went north of \$2, Hastings Entertainment Chairman and CEO, John Marmaduke says. Any time a consumer is hit with a large increase in a fundamental, they tend to cut back on non-essentials. That's the psychology of the consumer and video rental, the box office, DVD, and book sales are all feeling it now." So it's that, possible, is it not, reading Iglauer, that a decrease in sales in a given year could also be caused by things like poor economy, increasing gas prices, things like that?

A Oh, absolutely that's true.

Again, we're talking about a pattern over a

1	number of years. We're not talking about an
2	individual year here.
3	Q Right. As we saw, though, within
4	that pattern, there were years where your
5	sales increased, as well as years where they
6	decreased. Correct?
7	A Well, if you call the difference
8	between a \$25,000 profit and a \$40,000 profit
9	a significant increase, yes.
10	Q In fact, in 2001, if I read your
11	income statement correctly
12	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, I'm
13	sorry, I was about to ask a question with a
14	number in it that would be restricted
15	information again, a financial figure. And I
16	guess I would move to invoke the protective
17	1
<u> </u>	order. Mr. Handzo.
18	order. Mr. Handzo. MR. HANDZO: Yes, that is
18	MR. HANDZO: Yes, that is
18 19	MR. HANDZO: Yes, that is restricted information with respect to the

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What is the
2	question you're going to ask?
3	MR. LARSON: The question is about
4	sales figures for 2001, revenue.
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: In what year?
6	MR. LARSON: 2001, sir.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo,
8	justify that motion.
9	MR. HANDZO: May I just have one
10	moment, Your Honor?
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
12	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I know
13	this is a little unusual, but actually I'd
14	like to ask the witness, given it's from 2001,
15	whether those are figures that he would still
16	consider confidential or not.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That's fine.
18	Please proceed with voir dire.
19	THE WITNESS: If we were
20	considering that figures for other years are
21	confidential, I actually prefer if they were.
22	I'd also like to look at the document, because

1 I don't have those in my head. I'm sorry. 2 Can I ask if that's a problem? I'm not 3 understanding. SLEDGE: It's 4 CHIEF JUDGE 5 problem because the request is to seal public proceeding from the public, and that's a 6 7 problem, and so we're trying to determine if 8 sufficient cause exists to seal 9 proceedings from the public. 10 MR. HANDZO: And if I can just 11 inquire a little bit more. Mr. 12 Iglauer, I guess there are two questions. 13 is, does it sort of matter that it's 2001 14 versus present to you in terms of whether it's 15 confidential or not? And two, are these the 16 kinds of figures that you would regard as 17 competitively sensitive for your business? 18 THE WITNESS: I guess the answer 19 to both of those is mildly so. I don't 20 consider this a big issue, and I don't want to 21 hang up the court's time on this, so I guess 22 I would go ahead - I'll agree to go ahead and

1	talk about those if you want.
2	MR. LARSON: I could ask the
3	question, and if you feel that the figure
4	that's included in my question is something
5	you're uncomfortable with, then we could mark
6	it
7	THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm concerned
8	because I'd probably want to look at the
9	document.
10	MR. LARSON: Okay. So let me just
11	ask you this. When I looked at your
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: The motion is
13	withdrawn?
14	MR. HANDZO: At this time, yes,
15	Your Honor.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Okay.
17	MR. LARSON: When I looked at your
18	income statement from 2001, this is very
19	similar to that, it indicated that your sales
20	increased in 2001 by about \$465,000.
21	THE WITNESS: I can explain that
22	if you'd like.

MR. LARSON: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE WITNESS: In 2000, we went from being a company distributed by multiple independent distributors in various cities, to distributed being company by one а distributor, so what happened in 2000 was we announced to all of these distributors you're no longer representing us, and you can return whatever is in your warehouse, which we will issue you credit for, or if necessary, buyback with cash if we end up owing you money, rather than you owing us money. We'll buy them back. So that year we took a huge amount It was a very unusual year for of returns. us.

Our present distribution situation

-- then a lot of that product was shipped to

our new distributor. Our new distributor

operates on consignment; that is, the sales

are not made until the stores actually order

and are shipped, the CDs. Then the sale can

be, in a sense, unmade if they return the CDs.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	It becomes a negative sale, so we took these
2	huge returns in 2000, which made 2000 a very
3	odd year for us.
4	BY MR. LARSON:
5	Q So 2000 was actually lower, and it
6	made the increase in 2001 appear to be larger.
7	Is that what you're saying?
8	A Right. Yes. Essentially, the
9	unmade transactions in 2000 that we remade in
10	2001. I hope that's clear.
11	MR. LARSON: I guess we weren't
12	under the protective order on that?
13	THE WITNESS: No, and I'm fine
14	with that.
15	MR. LARSON: Okay. I'd like to
16	pass out what I've marked as Service's Exhibit
17	108.
18	(Whereupon, Service's
19	Exhibit 108 was marked
20	for identification.)
21	BY MR. LARSON:
22	Q This is a printout from the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

website of something called Mix Magazine. Do
you happen to recognize this, Mr. Iglauer?
A Well, I'm certainly familiar with
Mix Magazine.
Q Take a look at page 1, and you'll
want to flip over to page 2, where you'll see
your name appears at the top.
A I see my name.
Q Does that refresh your
recollection as to what this document is?
A Honest answer is I'd have to look
this over.
Q Okay. Take a moment, if you need
to.
A Okay. Is there a particular -
since this is long, is there a particular
section you'd like to point me to?
Q Just the first page, the first
paragraph, in fact. Does this appear to be a
letter that you
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Just a
moment. Just give him time to look over it.

THE WITNESS: Oh, I see, starting
after the oh, I see. Okay. Now I'm
understanding what I'm supposed to look at.
Excuse me. I'm sorry. I was just confused.
MR. LARSON: That's okay.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I want to
look at something before I answer questions
about it.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q Mr. Iglauer, does this appear to
be a letter that you sent to Mix Magazine?
A It certainly appears to be. To be
honest, I don't recall writing it, but I would
say that in May of 2003, that I would have
stood behind these opinions, so I'm
comfortable in assuming that I did write it.
Q Let me just ask you about the
first paragraph. You write, "This is the most
radical change I've seen in the music industry
in the 33 years I've been in it. Music is
losing its prominence as a form of leisure
time activity, competing with DVD, video

games, 100 channels of cable, et cetera, plus rampant copying and downloading." It's possible, sir, is it not, that a decrease in sales for a company over a period of time or in a given year, could in part be caused by the fact that people are buying things like DVDs or video games instead of CDs. Is that fair?

A Yes.

Q Okay. You talked about the cost of creating CDs before, including manufacturing and distribution costs.

A Yes.

Q Those don't exist with digital downloads. Is that correct?

A The physical manufacturing does not exist. We still have to create and record the music, of course, so all those other costs continue to exist. The other thing I should say is, we also have to create a marketplace for it. Just because something is available as a download doesn't mean that anybody

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

necessarily wants to buy it, so we have to do
everything we can to create public awareness
and public demand.
Q Understood. And those costs don't
exist also with webcasting or with the
subscription services that you spoke about.
Correct? The manufacturing and distribution
costs.
A Right. They're digital files.
Q You also talked with Mr. Handzo
about the advances that had. Do you recall
that?
A Yes.
Q If I could ask, what is the
royalty rate that you generally pay to your
artists?
A Frankly, I'm uncomfortable giving
that information as public information. I'll
give you
MR. LARSON: Before you answer
then, let's
THE WITNESS: I'll give you a sort

1	of general picture, if you want, but I
2	MR. HANDZO: If Mr. Larson does
3	want to ask the specific numbers, then based
4	on what the witness said, I would move that
5	that be restricted information. I think
6	certainly the amounts that get negotiated with
7	different artists certainly does seem like
8	confidential and sensitive business
9	information.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
11	to the motion for the protective order to
12	apply to specific payments made by Alligator
13	Records to a specific artist? Without
14	objection, motion is granted.
15	(Whereupon, the proceedings went into
16	Closed Session.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	BY MR. LARSON:
2	Q When you talked about advances,
3	it's true, is it not, that the money that's
4	advanced is repaid out of that royalty, as
5	opposed to out of the initial gross or net
6	sales?
7	A Yes, exactly, as I think I
8	explained earlier.
9	Q Right. And it's the case that the
10	artist royalties that you talked about don't
11	actually get paid until after the advance has
12	been recouped. Is that correct?
13	A Right. That's correct.
14	Q Okay.
15	A I should say that's correct
16	throughout the record industry. I didn't make
17	that up.
18	Q Right. And so, in fact, in some
19	way, you actually want to pay artists
20	royalties because that would be a sign that
21	you've got a fairly successful record on your
22	hands. Is that right?

A It's a funny way of putting it,
but if you're saying do I like making a
profit, yes, absolutely. Because I have
personal relationships with my artists, I am,
in fact, happy to pay them royalties.
MR. LARSON: A few more questions,
sir. I'm going to hand out now what's marked
as Exhibit 109.
(Whereupon, Service's
Exhibit 109 was marked
for identification.)
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Larson,
while you're doing that, I want to clarify
your last answer, Mr. Iglauer. When you
recoup your advances, is that a recoupment
that you don't pay any royalty until all of
your advance is recouped, or is that on a pro
rata basis?
THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I
understand the question.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: When you have
advances outstanding, and royalties are

beginning to accrue, do you apply 100 percent of those royalties to the outstanding advances, or do you apply a pro rata part of those royalties?

it's 100 THE No, WITNESS: assuming I'm understanding the question correctly. We have this pool of money that we'll call the advance, the areas that I already delineated, and then we'll have a pool of money that we call royalties owed to And I'd like to also make the the artist. distinction that if the artist is also the songwriter, or the publisher of the song, that's a separate royalty pool, not commingled with the recoupable advance, so in that case, the artist is paid from record number one, regardless of whether we've recouped. But in terms of the artist royalty, as separate from the writer royalty and the publisher royalty; yes, any royalties earned by the sale are applied against that advance.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Not a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	percent.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	BY MR. LARSON:
4	Q Okay. Mr. Iglauer, do you
5	recognize what I've handed out as Exhibit 109?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. And can you tell the Court
8	what it is?
9	A Sure. This is some sections of
10	the Alligator Records' website.
11	Q Okay. And up in the upper right-
12	hand corner, there's something called
13	"Streaming Radio"?
14	A Right.
15	Q And that Streaming Radio plays
16	automatically when a user navigates to this
17	page on the website. Is that
18	A Actually, to a number of pages on
19	the website, yes. It sort of follows you
20	around in an annoying fashion.
21	Q That's my next question. And Eric
22	Lindell, as you've said earlier, is an

1	Alligator artist. Correct?
2	A Right.
3	Q And I think as you can see here,
4	the song that's playing is the track Give It
5	Time?
6	A Right. The same track I was
7	talking about earlier.
8	Q All right. And if you look at the
9	second page, which I capture just a second or
10	two later, it shows that the album is Change
11	In The Weather. Is that right?
12	A Right.
13	Q Okay. And that's an album that
14	you've recently released.
15	A Correct.
16	Q Okay. And the streaming radio
17	channel actually plays the entire song. Is
18	that right?
19	A Yes, it does. It plays one song
20	each from the last, I believe, ten releases.
21	Q Right. And it loops those, it
22	plays the entire song, and then loops that

1	around again.
2	A Yes.
3	Q If you could look at page 3 of the
4	printout. Now down to the left on page 3,
5	you'll see what I'll call an advertisement for
6	Mr. Lindell's album. Is that right?
7	A Right. Yes.
8	Q And that's the one that's playing
9	at the moment up in the streaming radio
10	station.
11	A Yes.
12	Q And there's a link there, a button
13	next to the picture of Mr. Lindell's record,
14	that says "Add To Cart".
15	A Yes.
16	Q Can you tell us what that is?
17	A Yes, we have a mail order business
18	which we operate primarily off the internet,
19	in which we make direct sales to consumers.
20	Q Okay. And the idea here, is it
21	not, is that by playing a song from Mr.
22	Lindell's album on the page that you're hoping

1	that the visitor to the page will be enticed
2	to click that button and add the album to his
3	shopping cart.
4	A Yes. I'd like to point out again
5	that it's the song that we specifically are
6	working as the key song, one we want hooked
7	into people's brains, so that's the one we
8	want the repetition tactic for the public.
9	MR. LARSON: Okay. That's it for
10	that one. One more exhibit. Your Honor, I'd
11	like to move Exhibit 109 into evidence.
12	MR. HANDZO: No objection.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any
14	objections to Exhibit 109?
15	MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
17	objection, Exhibit 109 is admitted.
18	(Whereupon, Service's
19	Exhibit 109 was
20	admitted.)
21	(Whereupon, Service's
22	Exhibit 110 was marked

1	for identification.)
2	BY MR. LARSON:
3	Q Mr. Iglauer, do you recognize this
4	handout?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Can you tell us what this is?
7	A This is another part of the
8	Alligator Records website. It's what we call
9	a jukebox, as you see.
10	Q And how this works, if I
11	understand it right, is that a user or a
12	visitor to the site can click on one of these
13	song names that are listed here and hear that
14	song at that moment. Is that correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And they can hear the whole
17	song?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And do you know off the top of
20	your head how many songs you have total in
21	this jukebox?
22	A I believe 220 songs. I don't want

NEAL R. GROSS

1	to swear to that.
2	Q Okay. So these songs are
3	available essentially on-demand, to use the
4	lingo we use here. Is that correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And that's different than the way
7	that the Streaming Radio function works that
8	we just looked at on the last exhibit.
9	Correct?
10	A Well, to the extent that these
11	don't play automatically. It is the same,
12	however, to the extent that we are choosing
13	which songs we wish to have provided as
14	samples to the public.
15	Q But from the user perspective, one
16	just plays automatically when they go to the
17	page, and here the user picks the song that he
18	or she wants to listen to.
19	A Yes, that's correct.
20	Q Okay. And with the webcasters
21	that we're talking about in this proceeding,
22	Yahoo and AOL.
	1

1	A Yes.
2	Q Is it your understanding that with
3	those webcasters, you tune into a particular
4	station and then you, essentially, get what
5	the station is playing at that moment?
6	A You mean as versus picking?
7	Q Yes.
8	A My understanding is yes, that
9	that's the case.
10	Q Okay.
11	A Essentially, it's like terrestrial
12	radio in that regard.
13	Q Okay. And then if you look to the
14	left here on this exhibit, there are buttons
15	next to the songs that are labeled as "Buy
16	Now"?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And what happens when you click on
19	the "Buy Now" button?
20	A It goes to another section of the
21	site where the consumer has the possibility of
22	making a purchase.

Q Okay. So, again, by offering the
visitor to the site the opportunity to play
one of the songs, it's your hope, is it not,
that that visitor might be enticed to click
the "Buy Now" button, and actually purchase
the song?
A Yes.
MR. LARSON: Okay. Your Honor,
I'd like to move Exhibit 110 into evidence.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
to Exhibit 110?
MR. HANDZO: No.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
objection, Exhibit 110 is admitted.
(Whereupon, Service's
Exhibit 110 was
admitted.)
MR. LARSON: Now, Mr. Iglauer,
just a couple of more questions.
BY MR. LARSON:
Q You testified about the rise of
the big box retailers and the demise of some

NEAL R. GROSS

1	of the Indie record retailers.
2	A Many of the indie record
3	retailers, yes.
4	Q Let me just ask this; when you
5	sell songs online or albums online through
6	iTunes or through Amazon.com, you don't have
7	the same limitations on space that you run
8	into with a Wal-Mart or a brick and mortar
9	retailer, do you?
10	A If I understand the question
11	correctly, you're right.
12	Q Okay. I'm sorry, it wasn't formed
13	very well. And iTunes, for example, can list
14	millions of songs or records for sale if they
15	want.
16	A Yes, and they do.
17	Q Okay. And with iTunes or Amazon,
18	you don't pay those stocking fees that you
19	were talking about, end-cap fees. Correct?
20	A No. We do, in fact, have a
21	promotional relationship with Amazon which
22	involved our buying what's called an online
	1

store, which cost us, I wish I could remember
how much, a chunk of money when they put it
up, and which is a dedicated online Alligator
store within Amazon. But that's the only kind
of equivalent of a stocking or promotion fee
that I believe we do with Amazon, emphasis
believe because I don't want to tell you I'm
100 percent sure of that. I know that at this
point, iTunes does not offer any types of the
equivalents of retail promotions that we do
with physical retailers.
MR. LARSON: If I could have just
one moment, Your Honor.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
MR. LARSON: Your Honor, I have no
further questions.
MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I'd like
to ask, if I might, Meg Ryan of our office to
begin examining this witness.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Welcome back,
Ms. Ryan.
MS. RYAN: Thank you, sir.

1	MR. LARSON: Thank you, Mr.
2	Iglauer.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Iglauer,
5	you just said something that confused me. The
6	last couple of questions you answered referred
7	to sales by Amazon and iTunes, and I inferred
8	from the question and answer that you are
9	making sales through iTunes and Amazon. Who
10	makes the sale? I thought you gave a license
11	to iTunes, and they made the sale.
12	THE WITNESS: Well, let me
13	separate the two. Amazon, at this point,
14	sells physical goods, regular CDs.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Right.
16	THE WITNESS: They buy them from
17	our distributor just like a brick and mortar
18	store, and they resell them. Their site acts
19	in place of the brick and mortar store. They
20	represent about 5 to 6 percent of our national
21	sales at this point. iTunes sells digital
22	files. They are, in effect, a retailer of

digital files, and I would prefer to use the
term, that they are a reseller. The word
"license" in my industry has a rather specific
meaning, which is much more like the word
"lease". We're not leasing the right to them,
we're allowing them to take digital files of
our music and sell them, and pay us a
percentage of the sales income that they
receive.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: So I was
correct that the sales are made by Amazon and
iTunes, not by you.
THE WITNESS: Right. You could
think in a sense that iTunes is a wholesale
customer of our's, if you like. Whereas,
Amazon is actually a customer of our
distributor.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
Amazon only sells physical
THE WITNESS: At this time, yes.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
Thank you.

THE WITNESS: They're going into
the downloads business in the fall.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you,
again.
MS. RYAN: Good afternoon, Mr.
Iglauer. My name is Meg Ryan. I represent
the Radio Broadcasters in this proceeding.
THE WITNESS: Yes. Good
afternoon.
(Whereupon, Service's
Exhibit 111 was marked
for identification.)
MS. RYAN: May I approach, sir?
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MS. RYAN:
Q I'm handing you what's been marked
as Service's Exhibit 111 for identification.
Mr. Iglauer, what is Exhibit 111 for
identification?
A This is my testimony that's been
submitted to this Court.

1	your testimony.
2	A I'm sorry, page 10?
3	Q Yes, please. Page 10, going on to
4	page 11, you're discussing the recording costs
5	that you also mentioned today.
6	A Yes.
7	Q And you end up by saying that, "In
8	sum, for each release it costs Alligator
9	between approximately \$12,000 and \$110,000 to
10	record an album. The typical cost is about
11	\$30,000", and that's the last sentence before
12	the next sub-section on page 11.
13	A Yes, I see that.
14	Q It's true that the 12-100,000
15	dollar per album figure that you cite is
16	attributable to the cost of scouting new
17	artists. Is that correct?
18	A No, that's not these are hard
19	costs. I would not put the cost of scouting
20	new artists in this category.
21	Q Well, what within the information
İ	1

call "hard costs", as opposed to costs that 1 2 you do not attribute to the cost of the album? 3 Looking at the second paragraph of 4 page 10, the cost that I'm talking about that would be between 12,000 and 110,000 dollars 5 б would be the second section, starting with 7 "renting a recording studio", and ending with 8 "renting instruments." 9 Now if this is not an all-in deal, 10 as I explained in that previous sentence, the 11 first sentence of paragraph 10, then the 12 additional amount of money would be 13 advance paid to the artist. And those costs 14 would fall in that 30-110,000 dollars. 15 0 And those --16 Α I'm sorry, 12-110,000 dollars. 17 And is the difference in advances Q 18 that you pay to the artists part of the reason 19 for the variation in the costs that you have 20 here? 21 Α One of the differences, yes. 22 And some of those advances are Q

1	recoupable, you said.
2	A Those advances are recoupable,
3	yes.
4	Q Thank you for clarifying that
5	portion of your testimony. You didn't
6	describe today the hard work that you put in
7	to the start of Alligator Records. I'm
8	correct that part of what you did was
9	basically load up albums in your vehicle and
10	drive around the country to radio stations
11	promoting your record. Is that correct?
12	A First to radio stations, then to
13	distributors, yes.
14	Q And you would go to the radio
15	station first and ask them to play your music.
16	A Yes.
17	Q And then you would go to the
18	distributor and say hey, look, they're playing
19	my music. Would you like to sell it? Right?
20	A You've done your research very
21	well. That's correct.
22	Q Well, you've been interviewed by a

1	number of illustrious magazines, so I would
2	hope that I would do that much work. And it
3	was these efforts, kind of grass roots
4	efforts, to get radio stations to play your
5	music that encouraged people to then sell your
6	music in their stores.
7	A Yes. I should, along with other
8	things, but radio was part of that effort.
9	Q And in the early years, that was a
10	large part of your effort.
11	A We've always worked radio, and
12	press, and retail hand-in-hand, so yes, radio
13	was the first part of my effort, but we've
14	been extremely aggressive with print media, as
15	well.
16	Q And you attribute the success of
17	Alligator Records over the last 35 years to
18	the efforts that you've made to promote the
19	music, and the fact that you have good
20	artists.
21	A And also, the fact that those good
22	artists present exciting performances. I

1	talked earlier about how I feel that artist
2	performance is the best sales tool we have,
3	and I'll stand by that. But if you're asking
4	me if radio has value, yes.
5	Q Okay. Well, I was asking you a
6	slightly different question; which is, the
7	things that you did at the beginning to
8	promote your music, going to radio stations,
9	going to stores, and seeking out other avenues
10	of promotion are the things that you do today.
11	A Yes, we do some more things, but
12	yes, we continue to do those, and they are an
13	important part of our job.
14	Q And it's those promotional efforts
15	that you discussed in the many times that you
16	were interviewed about the history of
17	Alligator Records.
18	A Yes.
19	Q And in most of those interviews,
20	you don't mention the promotional value of the
21	gigs that you described to the tribunal here
22	today.

A I would hesitate to talk about
what I've said in most interviews. I'm
certain that in some interviews, I haven't
made a particular point of that.
Q What is R&R Magazine?
A Oh, R&R - Radio & Records.
Q What is it?
A It's what's called a trade
publication in the radio business. It is a
subscription publication, it's kind of in
tabloid format, kind of like a tabloid daily
newspaper, and it reports on primarily, I
should say, on what radio stations are
playing. It has a number of charts. We
talked earlier about the value of the charts.
To be honest with you, I very
rarely look at R&R, other people in my company
do, so I don't want to say something that
could be wrong.
Q What is the intended audience of
R&R Magazine?
A Programmers, people who make music

1	decisions for what gets played on the radio.
2	Q What about FMBQ, are you familiar
3	with that publication or website?
4	A I'm somewhat familiar with <i>FMBQ</i> ,
5	which is another format, a physical format but
6	it has rather a similar content. It's more
7	specifically aimed at certain formats of
8	radio; whereas, I believe that R&R is directed
9	at virtually every format of radio.
10	Q Is <i>FMBQ</i> similarly aimed at
11	programmers?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And am I correct in understanding
L4	your testimony that R&R Magazine and FMBQ are
L5	tracking radio air play, among other things?
L6	A Yes. They're printing information
L7	about radio air play. Tracking would
L8	technically mean that they were going out and
L9	doing the research. I believe that the
20	information they get, they're getting from the
21	stations, rather than actually listening to
22	the stations and writing down what they're

playing. So to that extent I wouldn't use the word "tracking", but otherwise, your general concept is correct.

Q So basically, they're creating lists that tell, I guess, independent labels and other labels what music is being played.

Α Well. and primarily, they're telling programmers what other programmers are playing. I talked about how much I perceive programmers, especially commercial followers, programmers, as sheep, as instead of using their own ears and saying this would fit on our station, they tend to look and say well, these other ten stations are playing it, and, therefore, we should, too. Again, that's one reason why we focus on individual songs as much as possible.

Q So these charts have value to you at Alligator Records in so far as if one of your songs shows up on it, the expectation is that that will encourage other radio stations to also play the song.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	A Yes.
2	Q And your hope is that if they play
3	the song, if people like it, that they'll then
4	turn around and go and purchase the album?
5	A Right.
6	Q Do these charts tell you anything
7	about the success that the artist is having?
8	A I think I'm going to ask you to
9	rephrase the question, because "success" is
10	such a vague term.
11	Q Okay. I'm just trying to
12	understand in real terms what these charts
13	mean. I mean, I understand that they give you
14	information about what music is being played
15	by the radio stations, and that that will
16	encourage other radio stations, which in turn
17	will get the music to have more exposure. But
18	in terms of what that information conveys to
19	you, can you tell me what having one of your
20	songs on a chart conveys to you about the
21	success or the popularity of the song?
22	A Well, the hope is, of course, that

because the radio station is repeatedly
playing that same song, that people will grow
to like it through exposure, that it will
result in a sale that will result in other
stations playing it, that may result in a
performance for the artist. These are the
spokes of the wheel, that the radio by itself
doesn't guarantee sales. Repeated radio play
certainly we hope makes sales more likely. We
have had records, and there are many famous
records, not particularly put out by my
company, which were radio hits but were not
sales hits.
Q And is part of the theory that
people are more likely to purchase music if
they've heard it?
A Yes.
(Whereupon, Service's
Exhibit 112 was marked
for identification.)
Q I'm going to hand you what's been

1	to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 112.
2	Mr. Iglauer, what is Exhibit 112?
3	A This is another piece of our
4	website. I believe this came from our news
5	section.
6	Q Have you seen Exhibit 112 before,
7	or the information contained within it?
8	A I've seen the information. I
9	don't know if I've seen it in this form.
10	Q And this is information about Eric
11	Lindell, who we discussed before is one of
12	your new artists.
13	A Right. And, obviously, a priority
14	artist for us, as I think is probably clear to
15	everybody.
16	Q Right. When this news clip tells
17	us that "Give It Time, the first single from
18	Eric Lindell's Alligator debut, Change In The
19	Weather is the number one most added record on
20	the $R\&R$ AAA Indicator Chart", what does that
21	mean?
22	A Well, as I said, $R\&R$, the

publication, has a number of charts. I
believe that the indicator chart is, in a
sense, what we might call and up and coming
chart. It doesn't indicate that he's getting
the most amount of air play on the stations,
that it may indicate that he was the most
this song was added to the most play lists in
the particular week that that chart was
printed in that magazine.
Q Okay. So according to Exhibit
112, Mr. Lindell's single was the most added
record on the $R\&R$ AAA Indicator Chart, on the
R&R Americana Chart, and the number two most
added record on the FMQB AAA Chart?
A Yes.
Q And $R\&R$ and $FMQB$ are the trade
publications aimed at programmers that we
discussed earlier. Correct?
A Yes.
MS. RYAN: I ask that Exhibits 111
and 112 be moved into evidence, sir.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What is the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	purpose of 111?
2	MS. RYAN: Exhibit 111 is his
3	direct testimony, sir.
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Why are you
5	offering that into evidence?
6	MS. RYAN: Oh, I'm sorry. I
7	wasn't certain if it was already in evidence.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
9	to Exhibit 112?
10	MR. HANDZO: No, Your Honor.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
12	objection, Exhibit 112 is admitted.
13	(Whereupon, Service's
14	Exhibit 112 was
15	admitted.)
16	BY MS. RYAN:
17	Q Mr. Iglauer, you're familiar with
18	the Alligator website?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What part in creating or
21	conceiving of its contents do you play?
22	A In terms of the overall structure

NEAL R. GROSS

1	of the website and the basic categories of
2	what's included, I was very involved. In terms
3	of things like what's posted in the news
4	section, for example, like this story, my head
5	of publicity actually does that. But I
6	certainly take responsibility for what's on my
7	website.
8	Q Your website contains links to
9	other blues-relates websites, doesn't it?
10	A Yes, come to think of it. Now I'm
11	hesitating. When I just said I took
12	responsibility, I don't want to go back on
13	that because it sounds pretty flaky to do so.
14	Actually
15	MS. RYAN: I'm not trying to make
16	you flaky.
17	THE WITNESS: No, no, I can look
18	flaky all by myself. Some of those links have
19	been there a long time, some of them have been
20	added because somebody at a blues society says
21	would you mind adding this link. And my

assistant, the same one who listens to WWOZ,

sometimes has just gone ahead and added those.
Sometimes she's asked me and I've said it
looks worthy, I guess we can add it. Frankly,
I simply haven't paid a lot of attention to
that part of the site, because it's not
selling anything.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Do you put
that under the protective order?
THE WITNESS: The flaky part? I
really don't want that
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Not paying
attention to your website.
THE WITNESS: Yes. The part about
being flaky, I'd rather not have in the public
record. Thank you.
BY MS. RYAN:
Q Are you familiar with a website
called "The Blues Highway"?
A I'm familiar with it to the extent
that I looked at it quite a few times when it
first came online, and it looked like it was
going to be a very helpful site for blues

1	fans. And then I noticed it wasn't getting
2	updated very regularly at all, and then I
3	stopped paying attention. I doubt I've been
4	to their site in the last year.
5	Q Okay. You've testified earlier
6	that Alligator Records today promotes radio
7	play of its songs on terrestrial radio.
8	Correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And am I correct that it promotes
11	that air play irrespective of whether the
12	terrestrial radio station happens to
13	simulcast?
14	A Yes.
15	Q In your written testimony you talk
16	about the fact that you sometimes hire
17	independent promoters. Do you recall that?
18	A Yes, independent promotion people.
19	Yes.
20	Q How is the on what basis is an
21	independent promoter paid?
22	A Generally, I can only speak about

1	my company. Generally, they charge us by the
2	week for their services whether they actually
3	convince any radio stations to play the
4	records or not. One of the independent
5	promotion people that we work with, in
6	addition will add on a fee if she actually is
7	able to score a station, so there'll be a
8	basic weekly charge, and an additional bonus
9	if she is scoring the station, if she gets the
10	station to add the record.
11	Q So when you say "scoring a
12	station", you're talking about the adds that
13	are tracked by R&R and FMBQ?
14	A Well, whether or not they're
15	tracked by $R\&R$ and $FMBQ$, the stations publish
16	play lists. The play lists are available to
17	us to see, and they tell us whether they're
18	playing something.
19	Q If you would go back and look at
20	Exhibit 111 and 110.
21	A The testimony?
22	Q I'm sorry, Exhibit 109 and 110.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	A One minute, please.
2	Q It's the Streaming Radio and the
3	jukebox.
4	A Right.
5	Q It's actually Exhibit 109 that I
6	really want to focus your attention on. The
7	Streaming Radio that's shown up at the top
8	right-hand corner of Exhibit 109 right now is
9	showing the artist, Eric Lindell, and the
10	song. Correct?
11	A Right.
12	Q And you've gone to the website.
13	You know how this feature works.
14	A Oh, yes. It plays automatically.
15	Q Well, it doesn't just play
16	automatically. I'm correct that if a listener
17	wants to, they can pause the song. Right?
18	A Oh, yes. I'm sorry, I should have
19	made that clear.
20	Q And if they want to, they can
21	rewind back to the previous song?
22	A Yes, they can flip to I

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

believe, as I said, there are ten songs	on
this jukebox, one each from our ten mo	st
recent releases. I'm not positive about t	he
number ten, and the little arrows here all	WO
people to move between those songs.	
Q And, in fact, if someone wants t	ο,
they could go and listen to the song, Give	Ιt
Time, as many times as they wanted to without	ut
paying for it.	
A Yes, that's possible. It's	a
chore, but they could do it.	
Q I mean, in essence, both t	he
jukebox and the Streaming Video are, to so	me
sense, interactive.	
A To the extent that you can contr	01
what's played, if that's your definition	of
interactive, yes.	
Q You talked about the income th	at
you receive from Sound Exchange as manna fr	om
heaven. Do you remember that testimony?	
A Yes.	
O I'm correct that the statuto	rv

1	royalties are a fairly new feature of revenue,
2	of income that you include in your revenue.
3	A Yes.
4	Q And if you would look at Exhibits
5	105 and 106, which are the 2004 and 2005
6	income P&Ls.
7	A Yes.
8	Q You would agree with me, sir,
9	wouldn't you, that the statutory royalties
10	that you receive from Sound Exchange are less
11	than 2 percent of your income?
12	A As a percent, yes. The thing that
13	I'd like to add to that is, unlike virtually
14	every other type of income that we receive,
14 15	every other type of income that we receive, there's not a commensurate expenditure
15	there's not a commensurate expenditure
15 16	there's not a commensurate expenditure involved in receiving this income. I don't
15 16 17	there's not a commensurate expenditure involved in receiving this income. I don't have to spend time, spend money, hire people,
15 16 17 18	there's not a commensurate expenditure involved in receiving this income. I don't have to spend time, spend money, hire people, ship our promo copies, buy advertising to get
15 16 17 18 19	there's not a commensurate expenditure involved in receiving this income. I don't have to spend time, spend money, hire people, ship our promo copies, buy advertising to get money from Sound Exchange.

like when you watch television and you see
Lost on whatever network it's on, the people
who make <i>Lost</i> aren't giving it free. The
network is paying for it. Only in the United
States does terrestrial radio not pay for
content.
MS. RYAN: I'm going to move to
strike all the testimony that Mr. Iglauer just
gave about terrestrial in other countries as
completely non-responsive to my question,
which was concerning a specific point on his
P&L with respect to the Sound Exchange income
as a part of overall income.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo.
MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I think
he's entitled to explain his answers, and I
think it was fairly responsive to the subject
matter that she was taking him into.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Objection
overruled.
BY MS. RYAN:
Q Leaving aside your commentary

1	about what happens in other countries, I'm
2	correct that your Sound Exchange income is
3	less than 2 percent of your overall income?
4	A Well, I haven't done the math, but
5	I trust you, so I'll say yes.
6	Q Okay. And, basically, that's
7	money that's value-added, you don't have to
8	expend any additional fees to get that money
9	from Sound Exchange.
10	A Correct.
11	Q It's true that you've made great
12	efforts to inform your artists about the
13	statutory royalties. Right?
14	A About the Sound Exchange income?
15	Yes.
16	Q You've sent them letters?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you've tried to communicate
19	with them by every means you know how.
20	A Right.
21	Q And it's true that in order to get
22	the statutory royalties, they need to sign
ŀ	

some sort of paper which you then return to Sound Exchange, after which they can get the royalties.

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q And am I correct, sir, that at least by 2005, less than half of your artists had filled out the paperwork necessary to receive the statutory royalties from Sound Exchange?

I don't know the answer to that. Α know that there are some artists continue to badger, and some artists have done it. The issue is the question of less than Are you talking about my current half. roster, are you talking about every artist who ever recorded for me? I'11 be glad to acknowledge that a reasonable number artists have not done this, but I can't tell you more or less than half.

Q Do you recall being interviewed by the *Chicago Sun Times* in January of 2005 by a Dave Hokstra.

1	A Hokstra, yes.
2	Q Do you remember telling Mr.
3	Hokstra, among other things, that you've "one
4	out and explained to people what the money was
5	for, way less than half of our artists signed
6	up, maybe 20"?
7	A I trust that Dave quoted me
8	correctly. I have made additional efforts
9	between January and now, and I've literally
10	badgered artists, and I have gotten artists to
11	sign up. I'm not denying that are there
12	artists who haven't signed up. I'm just not
13	confident of giving you a percentage at this
14	time.
15	Q You would agree that the success
16	of any given album depends on many factors?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And one of the factors is how good
19	the musical material is.
20	A Yes.
21	Q And one of the factors is how good
22	is the artist that's playing the musical

1	material.
2	A Those are sort of tied together,
3	but yes.
4	Q Well, you would agree that a good
5	artist that has bad material isn't going to do
6	well.
7	A Yes.
8	Q And
9	A Now that I understand your
10	question a little more clearly.
11	Q Or a bad artist that has really
12	good material probably isn't going to be
13	A Right. It's a package, it's the
14	song and the delivery of the song, the
15	production of the song, the marketing of the
16	song. And sometimes it's just things out of
17	your control.
18	MS. RYAN: I have just a couple of
19	more questions, sir. If you can give me just
20	one minute, I think we'll save us time.
21	BY MS. RYAN:
22	Q You talked on direct about the

1	fact that your revenue has decreased
2	approximately 33 percent over the last five to
3	six years.
4	A The figures I was giving related
5	specifically to physical CD sales, but I can
6	tell you, I'm confident with, when I mentioned
7	the going from a gross of around \$4 million to
8	less than two and a half million dollars, I'm
9	comfortable with giving you that figure as an
10	overall gross figure.
11	Q Okay. So just turning
12	specifically to the revenue that you derive
13	from physical CD sales, if you look at Exhibit
14	105 and 106, there is a revenue line for
15	physical CD sales. Correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And that's a gross revenue number.
18	Right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And it doesn't tell us how many
21	units of CDs you sold, does it?
22	A No, it's a dollar figure.

1	Q And am I correct that in 2003, the
2	entire industry decreased the cost of CDs from
3	approximately \$19 to approximately \$13. Do
4	you remember that?
5	A No, you're not correct.
6	Q What is the cost of a CD from
7	Alligator Records today?
8	A The retail price?
9	Q Yes.
10	A As I said before, full line
11	products are \$16.98. I did mention we do have
12	some midline titles which are \$11.98 price,
13	and some budget titles which are \$9.98.
14	Q So your testimony today is that
15	Alligator Records, at least, has not decreased
16	the cost of its physical product since 2003.
17	A That's right. Let me add to that,
18	there are a few full line titles which became
19	older, and which we decided to make midline
20	titles in hopes of increasing more sales, so
21	we may have dropped the price of ten or twenty
22	old titles. Our front line price has not

1	changed, our new release price, the price of
2	an Eric Lindell record, if you'd like.
3	Q Do you remember talking in your
4	direct written testimony about your concern
5	about something called iFill made by Griffin
6	Technology?
7	A Yes.
8	Q This is on page 16 of his written
9	direct testimony.
10	A Yes.
11	Q It's true, isn't it, Mr. Iglauer,
12	that you can't specifically identify losses of
13	CDs or download sales that Alligator has
14	suffered specifically attributed to iFill?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q You've not done any studies?
17	A I don't know how I would.
18	Q Do you happen to know whether such
19	software is usable on the streaming and
20	jukebox features of your website?
21	A I've extremely recently been told
22	that, in fact, it should be usable on my

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	website. And, frankly, I'm a little horrified
2	to find out. I never put that together in my
3	mind.
4	MS. RYAN: Thank you very much,
5	sir. I have no further questions.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms. Brown,
8	any questions?
9	MS. BROWN: Yes.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms. Brown,
11	what is your judgment at this time that you'll
12	be questioning?
13	MS. BROWN: No more than 10
14	minutes, Your Honor, probably less than that.
15	Would you prefer to take a break?
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I guess we
17	ought to go ahead and recess then. We'll
18	recess for 10 minutes.
19	(Whereupon, the proceedings went
20	off the record at 3:40:22 p.m. and went back
21	on the record at 3:53:03 p.m.)
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MS. BROWN: Good afternoon, Mr.
2	Iglauer.
3	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
4	MS. BROWN: Kris Brown on behalf
5	of National Public Radio.
6	CROSS EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. BROWN:
8	Q You're familiar with National
9	Public Radio and National Public Radio
10	programming, aren't you?
11	A Yes, I am.
12	Q Okay. In fact, you've been
13	interviewed by NPR, isn't that correct?
14	A Nationally at least once.
15	Q Okay. And that was in conjunction
16	with the 25 th anniversary of Alligator
17	Records?
18	A I believe that's right.
19	Q And at that time, you were
20	interviewed about a CD that you had prepared
21	in relationship to the 25 th anniversary?
22	A Right.

1	Q Okay. I believe that you have
2	testified that blues is a niche market. Isn't
3	that right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And by that you mean that blues
6	music is smaller ultimate market than pop
7	music, for example?
8	A The general understanding based on
9	figures I've seen is that blues music in its
10	widest definition occupies about 1 percent of
11	the music sold in the United States.
12	Q And so, it helps your business,
13	does it not, if the size of this niche market
14	increases through exposure of songs to new
15	listeners, and exposure of new blues artists
16	to old and new blues enthusiasts. Isn't that
17	right?
18	A Well, you're asking a broad
19	question. In general, exposure helps.
20	Q Okay. And you work to increase
21	the audience for your record sales through
22	various promotional activities, including

1	radio air play. Is that right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. And in particular, you have
4	worked to increase air play on NPR. Isn't
5	that correct?
6	A We've worked - "in particular" is
7	a strong phrase. Because a number of public
8	radio stations, some of which are NPR
9	affiliates, and some of which aren't, are more
10	likely to play outside of the mainstream
11	music, we've had some success in getting our
12	music played on those stations.
13	MS. BROWN: I'd like to mark
14	Services Exhibit 113, please.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let me
16	clarify that last answer. The question was,
17	as I understood it, do you with NPR, and I
18	believe you responded you work with specific
19	stations. Does that answer your question?
20	THE WITNESS: I could be more
21	specific, if you
22	BY MS. BROWN:

1	Q Are there particular member
2	stations that you've worked with, Mr. Iglauer?
3	A A number of them, yes.
4	Q Okay.
5	A I was trying to make the
6	distinction between NPR affiliated stations
7	and public radio, in general, because public
8	radio, in general, is more supportive of niche
9	music, to use the term you introduced.
10	Q But you have specifically worked
11	with National Public Radio member stations to
12	promote your artists. Isn't that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	MS. BROWN: I'd like to mark
15	Services Exhibit 13.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I think it's
17	113.
18	MS. BROWN: I'm sorry, 113. A
19	hundred too low.
20	(Whereupon, Service's
21	Exhibit 113 was marked
22	for identification.)

1	BY MS. BROWN:
2	Q Mr. Iglauer, do you recognize this
3	as an article dated January 12 th , 2002 from
4	Billboard Magazine?
5	A I don't recall this particular
6	article, but it seems to be correct. I know
7	the writer personally.
8	Q And the writer is Chris Morris?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. And if you turn to page 2
11	of this article, I know it's not marked, but
12	it's the second page, and go about seven or
13	eight paragraphs down, beginning with the
14	paragraph, "Labels selling niche product with
15	upper demo appeal face a particularly steep
16	climb at commercial radio, even at its few
17	liberal outlets."
18	A Yes, I see that.
19	Q Okay. And then it says,
20	"Alligator Records President, Bruce Iglauer,
21	whose 30-year old blues label caters mainly to
22	35-55 year old males says our commercial

format, of	course,	is A	ΑA",	and	read	ing	on
from there	e. Do	es t	that	ref	resh	λc	ur
recollectio	n of havi	ng giv	zen tl	nis i	nterv	/iew	to
Mr. Morris?							
A	I believe	e so,	yes.				
Q	Okay. A	nd if	we g	o dor	wn a	litt	:le

bit further, the next paragraph says, "Like interviewed by Billboard, of those Iglauer sees NPR as the crucial destination for reaching older consumers." And the next paragraph says, "I would bet that there's a growing marketplace for National Public Radio and for NPR music shows, Iglauer says. know, for example, that NPR's Morning Edition and All Things Considered, and Weekend Edition and Fresh Air all sell records, and a lot of records." Do recall making you statement?

A I don't recall the specific interview, but I would stand behind the statement.

Q And why do you make that

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

statement?

A Unlike some other radio play, we can see causal relationships. Let me back up slightly. When we're covered on shows like this, it's not like a normal radio show that plays a lot of different mixtures of music. It will generally be a feature, one of our artists will be on the show, in one case I was on the show, often performing; and, therefore, it happens one time, maybe twice in a day, but NPR doesn't repeat features again and again like repeating songs again and again over a period of weeks.

One place that we can see and track sales easily is Amazon, because on the Amazon website, they actually rank the sales of every record, I believe every record that Amazon sells. So you could see records that are ranked at 150,000, as well as number one. And they update these rankings quite regularly, I believe every few hours, so we can look there and see that Amazon, when we

1	had Shamique Copeland on Weekend Morning
2	Edition, we saw Amazon sales that weekend that
3	we could track. We saw a bump, a big increase
4	in her ranking, so I do believe in the sales
5	power. I should say I don't believe in the
6	sales power of every NPR show, but the ones I
7	named I do believe in.
8	Q And so with respect to those shows
9	that are mentioned in this article, Morning
10	Edition, All Things Considered, Weekend
11	Edition, and Fresh Air, you would certainly
12	encourage the artists that are associated with
13	Alligator Records to appear on those programs,
14	wouldn't you?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. You mentioned Shamique
17	Copeland. Is she still signed with Alligator
18	Records?
19	A Technically, she's between
20	contracts, but we're negotiating a new
21	contract with her now.
22	Q And you're interested in

1	maintaining her as an artist?
2	A Yes.
3	MS. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I'd
4	like to mark Service's Exhibit 114.
5	(Whereupon, Service's
6	Exhibit 114 was marked
7	for identification.)
8	BY MS. BROWN:
9	Q Mr. Iglauer, you'll see that this
10	is a transcript of an interview with Scott
11	Simon and Shamique Copeland in October of
12	2000.
13	A Yes.
14	Q Was this the interview that you
15	were referring to when you said that there was
16	an increase in CD sales associated with this
17	interview?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. And you'll see that at the
20	beginning of the interview, Scott Simon, as
21	the host, says, "Shamique Copeland sure can
22	sing." And then Ms. Copeland, in fact, sings
	1

one of the songs from her upcoming CD. Isn't that correct?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And if we move on, 0 Okav. Simon actually asks her several questions during the interview. You're welcome to read through it, about her influences, her interest in blues, and how she became a blues musician. And if you move to page 3 of the interview, just a few lines up from the bottom, beginning with Mr. Simon, it says, "Scott Simon". It says, "You've got, particularly with this CD, you've got an extraordinary pedigree. I mean, for example, B.B. King has your CD release party." Ms. Copeland says, "Uh-hmm, yes. was at B.B. King's New York Times Square. Uhhmm, it was a great night." And then Mr. Simon says, "And the great Ruth Brown performs on this CD. " And Ms. Copeland goes on to say, "Yes." Do you think that Mr. Simon did some research and some investigation before conducting this interview with Ms. Copeland?

1	MR. HANDZO: I would object to
2	asking him what he thinks the interviewer did
3	to prepare; lack of foundation, if nothing
4	else.
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Ms. Brown.
6	MS. BROWN: Well, he's testified
7	that the type of programming that's offered on
8	programs like Weekend Edition, is different in
9	kind than the programming on other types of
10	webcasting, and I'm trying to get his
11	impression about why that is. And I think
12	that it might go to the amount of background
13	investigation that the interviewer is doing to
14	elicit information from the artist being
15	interviewed.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Objection
17	sustained.
18	BY MS. BROWN:
19	Q Moving on to the last page, just
20	below the end, again in reference to Simon -
21	I'm sorry, Simon is quoted as saying,
22	"Shamique Copeland's new CD is called Wicked.

It's on the Alligator Records label. She
joined us here at National Public Radio with
her band members", and then the band members
are named. Do you think that the
specification of the name of the CD in the
interview would potentially encourage
listeners who were listening to that
broadcast, if they liked the music that was
being heard, to buy the CD?
A I would hope so.
MS. BROWN: No further questions,
Your Honor.
CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That
completes the cross examination. Any redirect
by Sound Exchange?
MR. HANDZO: Yes, briefly, Your
Honor.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. HANDZO:
Q Mr. Iglauer, you were asked about
some of your artists who haven't signed up for
Sound Exchange royalties. Could you tell us

NEAL R. GROSS

why, if you know, that they haven't signed up?

A As I think I explained earlier, a
number of my artists are not very comfortable
with things that involve a lot of literacy
skills. In addition, a number of my artists
are not online. Getting somebody who doesn't
know how to negotiate the internet to go
online and find forms, print them out, fill
them out, mail them in can be very tough.
People are intimidated. Some of my artists
may not realize the benefit, though I've tried
to explain it to them. I'm a firm believer
that those who in fact, I know, I have been
told, that those who have signed up are happy
they did so, and are grateful for the money.
I wish I could tell you other motivation. I
don't know that I can.

Q Now let me ask you to take a look at Service's Exhibit 108 that Mr. Larson showed you.

A Oh, 108. Okay, the Mix Magazine interview.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Q Yes.
2	A Okay.
3	Q Got it?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And if you look at what you
6	apparently wrote there about one, two, three,
7	four, five paragraphs down, you see a line
8	where you said, "We will cautiously experiment
9	with new technologies, especially if they
10	involve copy protection." Do you see that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you know what you had in mind
13	there?
14	A When I was writing this, I believe
15	what I had in mind was being extremely
16	reluctant to deal with a situation where
17	copyable files, for example Mp3 files,
18	probably for specific example Mp3 files, were
19	being made available, because those are the
20	files which notoriously can either be played
21	on any type of player, can be sent easily
22	through the internet, can be offered through

the so-called P2P sharing services, and the
expression has been used about theft on the
internet, that once the genie is out of the
bottle, it can't be put back in. Well, the
ultimate genie is the Mp3 file, because once
it's there, anybody can do anything with it.
Q Now you were just asked a few
questions about NPR, and let me ask you to
look first at Exhibit 113.
A Okay. Which one is that one? I'm
sorry.
Q It looks like that.
A Is it the one that isn't number?
Q No, it should be numbered at the
bottom.
A Okay. I'm sorry. Just show is
it okay if he walks over here and shows it to
me? Okay. Mine isn't numbered. That's my
excuse. Okay.
Q Now on the second page where you
were quoted, you described a number of NPR
programs, Morning Edition, All Things

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Considered, Weekend Edition, and so on.

2	A Right.
3	Q Are those NPR shows where your
4	artist or you would get interviewed?
5	A Yes. Just let me look at the
6	list. Yes. I don't believe any of our
7	artists have ever been played on these shows
8	without getting interviewed. The exception
9	might be <i>Fresh Air</i> , which runs record reviews,
10	and sometimes they'll just play a snippet of
11	music, and their reviewer will talk about it.
12	Q Okay. But with these programs,
13	you're either getting interviewed or you're
14	getting a review.
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And, in fact, I guess
17	Exhibit 114 is one example of such an
18	interview?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now you've described that you
21	might get a bump in sales after such an
22	interview. Do you recall that?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Have you ever seen that kind of
3	bump in sales if all that happened was just
4	the song got played with an interview, without
5	a review?
6	A I don't believe that there is
7	actually specifically an NPR show, a National
8	Public Radio show that plays music in that
9	context, essentially like terrestrial radio
10	where they'll just play a lot of songs one
11	after the other. Individual NPR stations
12	locally may have shows like that, but I don't
13	believe there's one on NPR. We have an NPR
14	expert we could always ask.
15	Q So where you're seeing that bump
16	in sales, it's always associated with an
17	interview or a review.
18	A Yes. What I would describe as a
19	feature.
20	Q Okay. By the way, do you
21	understand there to be any value to NPR from
22	having access to your artists or to you to be

1	able to interview?
2	A I'm sorry, I don't understand.
3	MS. RYAN: Objection; foundation.
4	MR. HANDZO: I'll withdraw. If I
5	can just have a minute. That's all I have,
6	Your Honor. Thank you.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you,
8	sir. I don't believe there would be any
9	additional questions on redirect. Ms. Ryan,
10	you seem to be coming forward. Do you have
11	
12	MS. RYAN: I just have one
13	question. I'd like to mark this exhibit.
14	(Whereupon, Service's
15	Exhibit 115 was marked
16	for identification.)
17	RECROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. RYAN:
19	Q Mr. Iglauer, I'm looking at
20	Service's Exhibit 115. Am I correct that free
21	Mp3 downloads of certain hit singles are
22	available from your website?

1	A I don't know if I'd use the term
2	"hit", but yes, free downloads are available
3	in that file form.
4	MS. RYAN: No further questions.
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you,
6	Mr. Iglauer. That completes your testimony.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What is our
9	schedule for next day of hearing?
10	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, at this
11	point, I'm not sure that we have yet figured
12	out who the first witness is going to be when
13	we reconvene. We will alert everyone as soon
14	as we know, which I hope will be early next
15	week.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Who will be
17	the witnesses in the first couple of days?
18	MR. HANDZO: That's what I'm
19	trying to figure out, is who's going to go
20	first. I know who we've got left, I just
21	don't know the order yet.
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It would be

1	very convenient for us to know today who our
2	next witnesses are to review for. And you
3	can't tell us that?
4	MR. HANDZO: I can call my office
5	and see if anybody has figured that out yet.
6	I don't know right now. I think the other
7	thing we can do is, as soon as we know, we can
8	fax a letter to the Board so that you know as
9	quickly as we have it figured it out.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That won't be
11	near as helpful to us as knowing right now
12	would, because of lack of contact with members
13	of the Board.
14	JUDGE ROBERTS: Mr. Handzo, you
15	just don't have any idea completely, or it's
16	you don't have any idea amongst three that
17	under consideration?
18	MR. HANDZO: Well, part of the
19	problem is my scheduling guru is not here in
20	the courtroom. Actually, if we wanted to take
21	a break for just a minute, I'm happy to call
22	back to the office and see if there's more

1	they can tell me.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We'll go
3	ahead and recess, and then if at all possible,
4	if you could notify us in the next several
5	minutes before we actually leave here, that
6	would be very convenient.
7	MR. HANDZO: Yes, I will do that.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr.
9	Steinthal.
10	MR. STEINTHAL: One other
11	housekeeping matter. At one of the breaks, we
12	had a discussion with Mr. Perrelli about
13	submissions with respect to the Motion to
14	Strike Dr. Brynjolsson's testimony. And we've
15	agreed that we will simultaneously file so
16	there's not different times people file.
17	We're both going to file at the end of the day
18	on Wednesday of next week the submissions in
19	connection with the Motion to Strike, if
20	that's okay with the Board.
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, you
22	have been given the leeway to file no later

1	than a week from today.
2	MR. STEINTHAL: Understood, and
3	we're both
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: If you want
5	to file before that, that's fine.
6	MR. STEINTHAL: We want to make
7	sure that neither party was going to file,
8	then read the other's, and get into that kind
9	of thing.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That was not
11	an alternative offered to you.
12	MR. STEINTHAL: Right. So we've
13	agreed, and close of business on Wednesday is
14	when we're going to file on that. I just
15	wanted to let the Board know.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
17	We'll be in recess until 9:30, June 5. Thank
18	you.
19	(Whereupon, the proceedings went
20	off the record at 4:14:10 p.m.)
21	
22	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in the matter of:

The Digital Performance Right in Sound Recording and Ephemeral Recordings (Webcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding)

Before: Copyright Royalty Board

Date: Thursday, May 18, 2006

Place: Washington, D.C.

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

NEAL R. GROSS